

NOTICE
OF
MEETING

**WINDSOR AND ASCOT DEVELOPMENT
MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE**

will meet on

Wednesday 1 March 2023

At 7.00 pm

In the

Grey Room - York House, and on [RBWM YouTube](#)

To: Members of the Windsor and Ascot Development Management Committee

Councillors David Cannon (Chairman), Sayonara Luxton (Vice-Chairman), Shamsul Shelim, Gary Muir, David Hilton, Amy Tisi, Ewan Larcombe, Wisdom Da Costa and Jon Davey

Substitute Members

Councillors John Bowden, Julian Sharpe, Helen Price, Carole Da Costa, John Story, Karen Davies, Samantha Rayner, David Coppinger and Neil Knowles

Kirsty Hunt, Service Lead – Electoral & Democratic Services - Issued: 21 February 2023

Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend Part I of this meeting. The agenda is available on the Council's web site at www.rbwm.gov.uk or contact the Democratic Services Officer **Becky Oates** Becky.Oates@RBWM.gov.uk

Recording of Meetings – In line with the council's commitment to transparency the Part I (public) section of the meeting will be streamed live and recorded via Zoom. By participating in the meeting by audio and/or video, you are giving consent to being recorded and acknowledge that the recording will be in the public domain. If you have any questions regarding the council's policy, please speak to Democratic Services or a Legal representative prior to the meeting.

AGENDA

Part I

<u>Item</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page No</u>
1.	<u>Apologies for Absence</u> To receive any apologies for absence.	-
2.	<u>Declarations of Interest</u> To receive any declarations of interest.	5 - 8
3.	<u>Minutes</u> To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 4 January 2023 as a true and accurate record.	9 - 12
4.	<u>21/02263/FULL - Courtleigh Manor House and Courtleigh House Lady Margaret Road Sunningdale Ascot</u> PROPOSAL: Erection of a replacement building containing 10 apartments with associated landscaping and basement parking and alteration to vehicular and pedestrian access following demolition of existing apartment building and attached dwelling. RECOMMENDATION: Permit APPLICANT: Apricot Properties Ltd MEMBER CALL-IN: N/A EXPIRY DATE: 19 October 2021	13 - 32
5.	<u>22/00715/FULL - Land at 11 and 11 Clifton Rise Windsor</u> PROPOSAL: x1 new semi-detached dwelling and new dropped kerb following demolition of existing elements. RECOMMENDATION: Permit APPLICANT: Grewal MEMBER CALL-IN: N/A EXPIRY DATE: 3 March 2023	33 - 44
6.	<u>22/01354/OUT - Land Bounded by Willow Path and The Limes and Windsor Road and Dedworth Road and Oakley Green Road Oakley Green Windsor</u> PROPOSAL: Outline application for access only to be considered at this stage with all other matters to be reserved for the construction of up to x320 new homes, land for a Special Educational Needs (SEN) school, a multi-functional community building alongside an area of strategic open space	45 - 74

including play spaces and orchard planting together with associated landscaping, car parking, footpath/cycle connections and vehicular access on to Dedworth Road, following demolition of existing structures.

RECOMMENDATION: Permit

APPLICANT: Mr Van Laun

MEMBER CALL-IN: N/A

EXPIRY DATE: 3 February 2023

7.

Planning Appeals Received and Planning Decision Report

75 - 82

To note the report.

This page is intentionally left blank

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985

In accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, each item on this report includes Background Papers that have been relied on to a material extent in the formulation of the report and recommendation.

The Background Papers will normally include relevant previous planning decisions, replies to formal consultations and relevant letter of representation received from local societies, and members of the public. For ease of reference, the total number of letters received from members of the public will normally be listed within the report, although a distinction will be made where contrary views are expressed. Any replies to consultations that are not received by the time the report goes to print will be recorded as "Comments Awaited".

The list will not include published documents such as the Town and Country Planning Acts and associated legislation, The National Planning Policy Framework, National Planning Practice Guidance, National Planning Circulars, Statutory Local Plans or other forms of Supplementary Planning Guidance, as the instructions, advice and policies contained within these documents are common to the determination of all planning applications. Any reference to any of these documents will be made as necessary within the report.

STATEMENT OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 1998

The Human Rights Act 1998 was brought into force in this country on 2nd October 2000, and it will now, subject to certain exceptions, be directly unlawful for a public authority to act in a way which is incompatible with a Convention right. In particular, Article 8 (respect for private and family life) and Article 1 of Protocol 1 (peaceful enjoyment of property) apply to planning decisions. When a planning decision is to be made however, there is further provision that a public authority must take into account the public interest. In the vast majority of cases existing planning law has for many years demanded a balancing exercise between private rights and public interest, and therefore much of this authority's decision making will continue to take into account this balance.

The Human Rights Act will not be referred to in the Officer's report for individual applications beyond this general statement, unless there are exceptional circumstances which demand more careful and sensitive consideration of Human Rights issues.

MEMBERS' GUIDE TO DECLARING INTERESTS AT MEETINGS

Disclosure at Meetings

If a Member has not disclosed an interest in their Register of Interests, they **must make** the declaration of interest at the beginning of the meeting, or as soon as they are aware that they have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) or Other Registerable Interest. If a Member has already disclosed the interest in their Register of Interests they are still required to disclose this in the meeting if it relates to the matter being discussed.

Any Member with concerns about the nature of their interest should consult the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.

Non-participation in case of Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI)

Where a matter arises at a meeting which directly relates to one of your DPIs (summary below, further details set out in Table 1 of the Members' Code of Conduct) you must disclose the interest, **not participate in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room** unless you have been granted a dispensation. If it is a 'sensitive interest' (as agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer), you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest, just that you have an interest. Dispensation may be granted by the Monitoring Officer in limited circumstances, to enable you to participate and vote on a matter in which you have a DPI.

Where you have a DPI on a matter to be considered or is being considered by you as a Cabinet Member in exercise of your executive function, you must notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter apart from arranging for someone else to deal with it.

DPIs (relating to the Member or their partner) include:

- *Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.*
- *Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from the council) made to the councillor during the previous 12-month period for expenses incurred by him/her in carrying out his/her duties as a councillor, or towards his/her election expenses*
- *Any contract under which goods and services are to be provided/works to be executed which has not been fully discharged.*
- *Any beneficial interest in land within the area of the council.*
- *Any licence to occupy land in the area of the council for a month or longer.*
- *Any tenancy where the landlord is the council, and the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest in the securities of.*
- *Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where:*
 - a) *that body has a place of business or land in the area of the council, and*
 - b) *either (i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body or (ii) the total nominal value of the shares of any one class belonging to the relevant person exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.*

Any Member who is unsure if their interest falls within any of the above legal definitions should seek advice from the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.

Disclosure of Other Registerable Interests

Where a matter arises at a meeting which **directly relates** to one of your Other Registerable Interests (summary below and as set out in Table 2 of the Members Code of Conduct), you must disclose the interest. **You may speak on the matter only if members of the public are also allowed to speak at the meeting but otherwise must not take part in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room unless you have been granted a dispensation.** If it is a 'sensitive interest' (as agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer), you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest.

Other Registerable Interests:

- a) *any unpaid directorships*
 - b) *any body of which you are a member or are in a position of general control or management and to which you are nominated or appointed by your authority*
 - c) *any body*
 - (i) *exercising functions of a public nature*
 - (ii) *directed to charitable purposes or*
 - (iii) *one of whose principal purposes includes the influence of public opinion or policy (including any political party or trade union)*
- of which you are a member or in a position of general control or management*

Disclosure of Non- Registerable Interests

Where a matter arises at a meeting which **directly relates** to your financial interest or well-being (and is not a DPI) or a financial interest or well-being of a relative or close associate, or a body included under Other Registerable Interests in Table 2 you must disclose the interest. **You may speak on the matter only if members of the public are also allowed to speak at the meeting** but otherwise **must not take part in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room unless you have been granted a dispensation**. If it is a 'sensitive interest' (agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer) you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest.

Where a matter arises at a meeting which **affects** –

- a. your own financial interest or well-being;
- b. a financial interest or well-being of a friend, relative, close associate; or
- c. a financial interest or well-being of a body included under Other Registerable Interests as set out in Table 2 (as set out above and in the Members' code of Conduct)

you must disclose the interest. In order to determine whether you can remain in the meeting after disclosing your interest the following test should be applied.

Where a matter (referred to in the paragraph above) **affects** the financial interest or well-being:

- a. to a greater extent than it affects the financial interests of the majority of inhabitants of the ward affected by the decision and;
- b. a reasonable member of the public knowing all the facts would believe that it would affect your view of the wider public interest

You may speak on the matter only if members of the public are also allowed to speak at the meeting but otherwise **must not take part in any discussion or vote on the matter and must not remain in the room unless you have been granted a dispensation**. If it is a 'sensitive interest' (agreed in advance by the Monitoring Officer, you do not have to disclose the nature of the interest.

Other declarations

Members may wish to declare at the beginning of the meeting any other information they feel should be in the public domain in relation to an item on the agenda; such Member statements will be included in the minutes for transparency.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 3

WINDSOR AND ASCOT DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY 4 JANUARY 2023

PRESENT: Councillors David Cannon (Chairman), Sayonara Luxton (Vice-Chairman), Shamsul Shelim, Gary Muir, David Hilton, Amy Tisi, Wisdom Da Costa and Jon Davey

Also in attendance: Councillor Samantha Rayner, Councillor Andrew Johnson & Councillor Phil Haseler (Virtually)

Officers: Oran Norris-Browne, Jeffrey Ng, Claire Pugh & Sian Saadeh (Virtually)

Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Councillor Larcombe.

Declarations of Interest

No declarations of interest were made.

Minutes of Previous Meeting

AGREED UNANIMOUSLY: That the minutes of the meeting held 2 November 2022 were a true and accurate record.

22/01492/FULL - Land East of Horton Road Horton Slough

A motion was proposed by Councillor Hilton to grant planning permission subject to the conditions listed in section 1.8 of the report and the committee update, in addition to an added condition that would ensure that the stockpiles of materials do not exceed the height of the raised screening bunds. This was seconded by Councillor Shelim.

A named vote was taken.

22/01492/FULL - Land East of Horton Road Horton Slough (Motion)	
Councillor David Cannon	For
Councillor Sayonara Luxton	For
Councillor Shamsul Shelim	For
Councillor Gary Muir	For
Councillor David Hilton	For
Councillor Amy Tisi	For
Councillor Wisdom Da Costa	Against
Councillor Jon Davey	For
Carried	

AGREED: That planning permission be granted subject to the conditions listed in section 1.8 of the report and the committee update, in addition to an added condition that would ensure that the stockpiles of materials do not exceed the height of the raised screening bunds.

The Committee were addressed by two speakers, Janet Crame, Horton Parish Council and Phillip Taylor, Applicant's Agent.

22/01593/FULL - Legoland Windsor Resort Winkfield Road Windsor SL4 4AY

A motion was put forward by Councillor Hilton to grant planning permission subject to the completion of a section 106 agreement to secure carbon offset contributions along with the conditions listed in section 12 of the report, which was in line with officer's recommendation. This was seconded by Councillor Luxton.

A named vote was taken.

22/01593/FULL - Legoland Windsor Resort Winkfield Road Windsor SL4 4AY (Motion)	
Councillor David Cannon	For
Councillor Sayonara Luxton	For
Councillor Shamsul Shelim	For
Councillor Gary Muir	For
Councillor David Hilton	For
Councillor Amy Tisi	For
Councillor Wisdom Da Costa	For
Councillor Jon Davey	For
Carried	

AGREED UNANIMOUSLY: That planning permission be granted subject to the completion of a section 106 agreement to secure carbon offset contributions along with the conditions listed in section 12 of the report.

The Committee were addressed by one speaker, Helen Bull, Applicant.

22/02092/FULL - Super Vettura London Road Sunningdale Ascot SL5 0DQ

A motion was put forward by Councillor Da Costa to grant planning permission subject to the conditions listed in sections 1.2 and 14 of the report, which was in line with officer's recommendation. This was seconded by Councillor Shelim.

A named vote was taken.

22/02092/FULL - Super Vettura London Road Sunningdale Ascot SL5 0DQ (Motion)	
Councillor David Cannon	For
Councillor Sayonara Luxton	For
Councillor Shamsul Shelim	For
Councillor Gary Muir	For
Councillor David Hilton	For
Councillor Amy Tisi	For
Councillor Wisdom Da Costa	For
Councillor Jon Davey	For
Carried	

AGREED UNANIMOUSLY: That planning permission be granted subject to the conditions listed in sections 1.2 and 14 of the report.

Planning Appeals Received and Planning Decision Report

The committee noted the report.

The meeting, which began at 7.00 pm, finished at 8.30 pm

CHAIR.....

DATE.....

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4

ROYAL BOROUGH OF WINDSOR & MAIDENHEAD
PLANNING COMMITTEE

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PANEL

1 March 2023

Item: 1

Application No.:	21/02263/FULL
Location:	Courtleigh Manor House And Courtleigh House Lady Margaret Road Sunningdale Ascot
Proposal:	Erection of a replacement building containing 10 apartments with associated landscaping and basement parking and alteration to vehicular and pedestrian access following demolition of existing apartment building and attached dwelling.
Applicant:	Apricot Properties Ltd
Agent:	Miss Lucy Anderson
Parish/Ward:	Sunningdale Parish/Sunningdale And Cheapside
If you have a question about this report, please contact: Sarah Tucker on or at sarah.tucker@rbwm.gov.uk	

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 The application seeks full planning permission for the erection of a replacement building containing 10 apartments with associated landscaping, basement parking and alteration to vehicular and pedestrian access following demolition of existing apartment building and attached dwelling.
- 1.2 The proposal is acceptable in terms of the principle of development, its design and its impact on the character of the area, its impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupiers, parking and highway implications, ecological implications, impacts on trees and sustainability. The proposal is not economically viable and therefore cannot provide affordable housing as required by Policy HO3 of the Borough Local Plan, however the viability assessment has been fully assessed by an independent viability consultant and is considered acceptable. Overall, the planning balance is in favour of approving the proposal subject to the recommended conditions and S106 obligations.

It is recommended the Committee authorises the Head of Planning:	
1.	To grant planning permission subject to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of Section 106 legal agreement to secure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A financial contribution towards the Council's carbon off-set fund and associate carbon shortfall clause - SPA mitigation - Review mechanism for affordable housing provision • The conditions are listed in Section 15 of this report.
2.	To refuse planning permission if a Section 106 legal agreement is not secured for the reasons that the proposed development would not make the fullest contribution towards reducing carbon emissions and tackling climate change in line with policy SP2; would not accord with Policy HO3 and; that the development would not mitigate the harm to the SPA

2. REASON FOR COMMITTEE DETERMINATION

- The Council's Constitution does not give the Head of Planning delegated powers to determine the application in the way recommended; such decisions can only be made by the Committee as the application is for major development.

3. THE SITE AND ITS SURROUNDINGS

- 3.1 The site lies within the suburb of Sunningdale, which is designated in RBWM's Townscape Assessment as a 'Leafy Residential Suburb'. The site lies on the corner on Lady Margaret Road and Charters Road and consists of a mid 20th century block of 5 flats and one house, over 2.5 storeys, with on-site parking and a substantial communal garden.
- 3.2 To the north-east of the site lies Charters Road with existing residential properties and a large sales garage, to the north-west lies Lady Margaret Road with existing residential properties, to the south-west lies Clareways, another block of flats, and to the south-east lies three-storey townhouses in the cul-de-sac of St James Gate.
- 3.3 There is a group of TPO trees just to the north-east of the site. The site lies within 5km of the Thames Basin Special Protection Area.

4. KEY CONSTRAINTS

- 4.1 There are no constraints within the site. The site lies wholly within Flood Zone 1. It is noted that there is a TPO Group to the northeast adjacent to the site boundary however this TPO group does not lie within the red line of the application site.

5. THE PROPOSAL

- 5.1 The proposal seeks full permission for the erection of a replacement building containing 10 apartments with associated landscaping and basement parking and alteration to vehicular and pedestrian access following demolition of the existing apartment building and attached dwelling. The proposed access is located in the same location as existing, except it has been widened by 0.5m.
- 5.2 The proposal consists of a 2.5 storey building with 4 apartments on the ground floor, 3 apartments on the 1st floor and 3 apartments in the roof space on the top floor. All apartments are proposed to be 2 bedroomed. No affordable housing is proposed. All apartments on the ground floor have access to a garden, and all other apartments have access to a balcony. There is also a communal garden.
- 5.3 The application proposes basement parking for 15 vehicular spaces with two overground visitor spaces. Cycle parking for 12 cycles is proposed to the rear of the site. A bin store is proposed in the north-eastern corner of the site.

6. RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

- 6.1 There is no planning history relevant to this application.

7. DEVELOPMENT PLAN

- 7.1 The main relevant policies are:

Adopted Borough Local Plan

Issue	Policy
Spatial Strategy for the Borough	SP1
Climate Change	SP2
Sustainability and Placemaking	QP1
Character and Design of New Development	QP3
Building Height and Tall Buildings	QP3a
Housing Development Sites	HO1

Affordable Housing	HO3
Managing Flood Risk and Waterways	NR1
Nature Conservation and Biodiversity	NR2
Trees, Woodlands and Hedgerows	NR3
Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area	NR4
Renewable Energy	NR5
Sustainable Transport	IF2
Hierarchy of Centres	TR1

Adopted Ascot, Sunninghill and Sunningdale Neighbourhood Plan

Issue	Policy
Biodiversity	NP/EN4
Respecting the Townscape	NP/DG1
Density, footprint, separation, scale, bulk	NP/DG2
Good Quality Design	NP/DG3
Energy Efficiency and Sustainability	NP/DG5

8. MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

National Planning Policy Framework Sections (NPPF) (2021)

Section 2 – Achieving sustainable development

Section 4- Decision-making

Section 5 – Delivering a sufficient supply of homes

Section 9- Promoting Sustainable Transport

Section 11 – Making effective use of land

Section 12- Achieving well-designed places

Section 14- Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Section 15 – Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

Supplementary Planning Documents

- RBWM Thames Basin Health's SPA
- Borough Wide Design Guide

Other Local Strategies or Publications

- RBWM Townscape Assessment
- RBWM Parking Strategy
- Affordable Housing Planning Guidance
- Interim Sustainability Position Statement
- Corporate Strategy
- Environment and Climate Strategy

9. CONSULTATIONS CARRIED OUT

Comments from interested parties

The planning officer posted a notice advertising the application at the site on 10th August 2021 and the application was advertised in the Local Press on 5th August 2021.

20 neighbouring occupiers were notified directly of the application.

Of the 20 neighbours that were consulted, 4 neighbouring occupiers submitted objections to the proposal, their comments are summarised below.

Summary of neighbour comments	Where in the report this is considered
Disproportionate in scale	Section iii
Imposing due to its size	Section iii
Out of character in terms of design	Section iii
Loss of green space	Section iii
Overshadowing	Section iv
Loss of light/sunlight	Section iv
Loss of privacy	Section iv
Noise impacts during construction	Section iv
Noise impacts with the use of the development, in particular the vehicle access/parking	Section iv
Impact on trees	Section viii
Building line will be altered	Section iii

Consultees

Consultee	Comment	Where in the report this is considered
Highways	No objections subject to conditions.	Section vi
LLFA	No objection subject to a condition	Section ix
Ecology	No objection subject to conditions.	Section vii

Others (e.g. Parish and Amenity Groups)

Group	Comment	Where in the report this is considered
SPAЕ	<p>Objection.</p> <p>Lady Margaret Road is characterised as being in Leafy Residential Suburbs, which includes “low density residential suburbs comprising large detached houses in spacious irregular well treed plots”. The Townscape Assessment goes on to state that “The type is defined by large properties set well back from the road, behind dense/high ornamental hedges with gravel drives and gates.”</p> <p>The proposal for ten apartments in a 0.24ha site could hardly be further from the mark. The site would be completely taken up by the development including</p>	Section iii

	<p>hardstanding. It would be a contrived, constricted and unbalanced development that would not be visually attractive and would not be sympathetic to local character including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting.</p> <p>It would not be similar in density, footprint, separation, scale and bulk of the buildings to the density footprint, separation, scale and bulk of buildings in the surrounding area generally and of neighbouring properties in particular in the leafy residential suburbs setting. The scheme would introduce a scale and density which would be incompatible with the character and amenity of the area.</p> <p>The built structure would leave hardly any amenity facility for plots 1-4 and the communal area, and this would result in an unacceptable reduction of the green space in the existing garden.</p> <p>In summary, the proposal would be contrary to LP/DG1, LP/H10, LP/H11, NP/DG1, NP/DG2, NP/EN3 and additionally NPPF 130.</p> <p>In a recent dismissal at appeal (APP/T0355/W/20/3257723) of Land at Lady Margaret Cottage (directly across the road), the Inspector stated in respect of Character and Appearance: "The general character along Lady Margaret Road is of large detached properties set within spacious grounds with the hedgerows and trees to the front of the properties contributing to the area's attractive verdant character. The appeal site contributes to this spacious character."</p>	
Sunningdale Parish Council	<p>The Parish Council strongly objects to the scheme. The existing site blends comfortably in the streetscene in keeping with the townscape of 'Leafy Residential Suburbs'.</p> <p>The proposed apartment block would dominate the streetscene. The footprint has increased substantially with a significantly reduced garden and green space. The ridge height of the block rises significantly above the level of properties on Charters Road. The proposed massing, scale and density would have completely at odds with the townscape and contrary to Policies NP/DG1.2 and NP/DG1.3 of the Ascot, Sunninghill and Sunningdale Neighbourhood Plan.</p>	Sections iii, iv, vi, viii

	<p>The replacement hedgerow at the rear of the site should be secured by condition.</p> <p>The design of the scheme is not in keeping with the surrounding area and is not sympathetic to other developments along the road. It fails to provide innovative design or promoting high quality interior spaces and light.</p> <p>Parking spaces are below the DoE parking standards and there are only two spaces for visitors, delivery or emergency vehicles and limited space for lorries, trucks, and vehicles manoeuvring within the site. The lack of parking provision is contrary to Policy NP/TP1 of the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>There is a reduction in the amount of amenity space which is contrary to Policy NP/EN3 of the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>Loss of trees some of which are very visible to make way for development is not justified.</p> <p>The development falls within 5km of the Thames Basin Heaths SPA, therefore a Suitable Alternative Natural Green Space must be provided, as well as a financial contribution.</p> <p>No data is provided to ensure that there is no loss of privacy or light.</p> <p>Recent appeal decisions in the area have been dismissed on the grounds the development harms the character and appearance of the area.</p>	
--	--	--

10. EXPLANATION OF RECOMMENDATION

10.1 The key issues for consideration are:

- i Principle of Development
- ii Climate Change and Sustainability
- iii Design and Character
- iv Impact on amenity of neighbouring properties and future occupiers
- v Affordable Housing
- vi Parking and Highways Impacts
- vii Ecology
- viii Trees
- ix Other Material Considerations

i. Principle of Development

10.2 The site is an existing residential one and the proposal would increase the number of residential units on site by 4. Policy HO1 allows for 14,420 new dwellings within the plan period. Sunningdale is an existing residential area and a District Centre as defined by Policy TR1, and has a large number of shops and facilities and is served well by public transport, having its own station, within walking distance of the site, as well as multiple bus routes.

10.3 Given the above, it is considered that the site is an appropriate one for the increase in residential development proposed and as such, the principle of development is considered acceptable.

ii. Climate Change and Sustainability

- 10.4 The council's interim sustainability statement (March 2021) highlights that new development over a certain size should achieve a net-zero carbon rating. Any shortfalls should be mitigated by a financial contribution to the carbon offset fund. Additionally, Paragraphs 7 and 8, and Section 14 of the NPPF (2021) and Policy SP2 of the Borough Local Plan (2022), encourage developments to be built to mitigate climate change and to incorporate low carbon and efficient energy sources.
- 10.5 The submitted information highlights that the development will reduce carbon emission by using efficient and renewable energy sources, including solar panels and air source heat pumps however the scheme is not zero carbon neutral. As such, the applicant has agreed to enter into a Section 106 legal agreement with the Council to ensure a financial contribution will be made to carbon offset fund. This is deemed to be a compliance mechanism in order to effectively enforce the requirements of the interim sustainability statement. Subject to the signing of the S106 agreement, the development would be in line with the requirements of the interim sustainability statement, and in accordance with Policy SP2 of the adopted Borough Local Plan and Policy NP/DG5 of the adopted Ascot, Sunninghill and Sunningdale Neighbourhood Plan.

iii. Design and Character

- 10.6 The existing building consists of a 2.5 storey block of late 20th century flats, in brick and wood cladding with a pitched roof with two gable ends on the northern end of the building. The building itself is of very little character, but it sits within a large garden. There is no one strong vernacular of building in the vicinity, being a range of types, styles and ages of building in the area, from contemporary townhouses to the south of the site, to the sales garage to the north-east, to the brick built flats to the south-west of the site along Lady Margaret Road. Whilst there are some large detached houses in substantial gardens in the area, this is not the norm, there being blocks of flats along Land Margaret Road. The area is characterised by tree-line roads and trees make a substantial contribution to the overall feel of the area.
- 10.7 The proposed flatted development would be of a greater mass and scale than the existing block, and would pull the building line closer to both Lady Margaret Road and Charters Road, however, given the range of types and scales of existing residential buildings in the area, including similar sized flatted buildings, as well as substantial town houses on the boundary of the site, it is considered that this increase in mass and scale will not look out of place in this location. The overall height of the proposed block would be a maximum of 0.8m higher than the existing block of flats. Furthermore, there is no one strong building line on the eastern side of Lady Margaret Road, due to the extensive parking courts of large garage blocks of nearby properties here. Many of the trees on site are proposed to be retained, and replacements proposed for those that are removed, which are of poor quality. As such the tree-lined character of Lady Margaret Road and Charters Road will be maintained. Given the above, the massing, scale and location of the proposed building is considered appropriate.
- 10.8 The proposed scheme is designed in an 'L' shape with the overall massing broken up visually by changes in roof heights and use of architectural features such as gable of various sizes, gabled and hipped roofs, feature chimneys, and dormer windows. The palette of materials proposed include a mixture of brick, wooden cladding and render in different colours which will further break up the massing of the building. Given that that there is not one vernacular of building within the vicinity, and the design takes visual elements from surrounding buildings, the design of the proposed scheme is considered acceptable.
- 10.9 Given the above, the scale, massing, siting, design and character of the proposed scheme is considered appropriate in this location and in accordance with Policy QP3 of the Borough Local Plan and Policies NP/DG1, NP/DG2 and NP/DG3 of the adopted Ascot, Sunninghill and Sunningdale Neighbourhood Plan.

iv. Impact on amenity of neighbouring properties and future occupiers

- 10.10 The proposal has a greater impact on neighbouring properties than the existing block, due to the increase mass and scale. However, distances between neighbouring properties and the proposed development are within the tolerances set out in the adopted Borough Wide Design Guide. Properties opposite the site on Lady Margaret Road will be 27m from the proposed building, properties opposite the site on Charters Road will be a minimum of 23m from the proposed building and there will be 28m to the side elevation of Clareways to the south-west of the site. The elevation proposed facing nos. 16-19 St James Gate has no windows on any upper floor, and privacy screens to the end elevations of the balconies on the first and second floors that face onto Charters Road here. This elevation is 18m from rear of nos 16-19 St James Gate, within the tolerance set out in the adopted Borough Wide Design Guide, which states that a flank wall over 2 storeys to the rear of a dwelling should be a minimum of 15m. Furthermore, due to the overall heights to ridge of the roof and the topography, properties at St James Gate will be 2m higher than the proposed building. A condition will be recommended to ensure that the privacy screens to the relevant balconies will be in place at all times. Given this, it is considered that there will no undue overlooking, overshadowing or loss of light as a result of the proposal or that the proposal would represent an overbearing presence for neighbouring properties.
- 10.11 The proposed bin store lies in the north-east corner of the site, close to the garden boundary of no. 19 St James Gate. This is not considered to be an appropriate location for bin store and will cause harm to the residential amenity of no. 19, and as such, the applicant has agreed to a condition to move the bin store to a more appropriate location.
- 10.12 The proposed flats on the ground floor all have access to a garden at least 3m deep and the width of each unit, in accordance with the Borough Wide Design Guide. All other flats have access to a balcony that provides more than the 5 sq m required by the Design Guide.
- 10.13 Concerns have been raised by local residents regarding noise of construction and noise as a result of the development itself. However, since construction noise can be controlled by Environmental Health legislation, it is not reasonable for construction noise to be condition, it will be included however in an informative. The sites lies within a well established residential area, on the site of existing flats, close to Waitrose supermarket and the A30. It is of note that there existing parking spaces adjacent to the gardens of properties at St James Gate, and this will be improved since most car parking is proposed at basement level. Given this location, the proposal will not result in an unacceptable increase in pre-existing background noise levels and as such, noise from the development itself is not considered harmful.
- 10.14 Given the above, with the addition of the recommended conditions, the proposal is not considered to harm the reasonable residential amenities of the neighbouring properties and in this regard is in accordance with Policy QP3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan and the adopted Borough Wide Design Guide.

v Affordable Housing

- 10.15 Policy HO3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan states that for all developments of 10 dwellings gross, on-site affordable housing should be provided, on greenfield sites 40% of the total number of units and on all other sites. 30% of the total number of units. Since this site already has residential development on it, it comes under the 30% requirement. Paragraph 7.7.9 of the adopted Borough Local Plan states that where the provision of affordable housing in accordance with HO3 is not economically viable, the Council will expect the submission of an open book financial appraisal alongside the planning application.
- 10.16 The applicants have stated that the development is not economically viable and have submitted an open book appraisal to support this. This appraisal has been assessed by an independent viability consultant, who have concluded that development would have a deficit and as such the development is not considered to be economically viable and as such affordable housing cannot be provided here, although the independent consultants recommend a review mechanism in the S106 obligation. Since the adopted Borough Local Plan, and the NPPF allows for economic

viability to be taken into account, this is considered acceptable, subject to the review mechanism in the S106.

vi Parking and Highway Impacts

- 10.17 The proposals include 17 parking spaces- 15 residents spaces and 2 visitor spaces. This amount of car parking is within the maximum standards set out in the Borough Parking Standards in areas of good accessibility, which this area is considered to be, close to the station, various bus routes, shops and facilities. On-street parking is controlled in the vicinity by double yellow lines, single yellow lines and restrictive parking so there will be no impact on on-street parking in the area as a result of the proposal.
- 10.18 The Highway Officer has stated that 20% of parking should be for electric charging of vehicles, however, the proposal is for 4 spaces, and the increase will be required by a recommended condition.
- 10.19 The appropriate level of cycle parking is proposed, and details of the cycle parking to ensure an appropriate standard, will be required by a recommended condition.
- 10.21 Whilst there will be a small residual increase in vehicular activity as a result of the proposal this is unlikely to have an adverse impact on capacity or safety of the local highway network.
- 10.22 Given the above, the highway and parking implications of the proposal are acceptable and the proposal accords with Policy IF2 of the adopted Borough Local Plan and the Borough Parking Standards.

vii Ecology

- 10.23 The application was supported by a preliminary ecological appraisal and a bat survey. This showed that the majority of the habitats on site are of low ecological value, however, whilst no bats were found on site, the surveys indicated that the site has the potential to support roosting bats and as such a Construction Environmental Management Plan is recommended. Biodiversity enhancements are also required by a recommended condition to include integral bat and bird boxes and native and wildlife friendly planting.
- 10.24 The application site lies within 5km of the Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area (SPA). This is a European Designated Site afforded protection under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 as amended (the Habitats Regulations). It is an area designated to protect a network of important bird conservation sites. The proposal would result in an incremental increase in the local population and be likely to generate further recreational activity and dog walking within the THBSPA. It is necessary therefore to secure mitigation in the form of SANG (Suitable Alternative Natural Green Space) and SAMM (Strategic Access Management and Monitoring). A legal agreement will need to be secured for the SAMM and SANG contributions to offset the identified impact on the integrity of the SPA is required. An Appropriate Assessment was carried out in connection with this current application and subject to securing the appropriate S106 Agreement the LPA is satisfied that the proposal would have no adverse impact on the Thames Basin Heaths SPA.
- 10.25 Subject to the addition of the recommended conditions and the S106 obligation set out above, the proposals are considered acceptable in terms of impact on ecology and biodiversity and in accordance with Policy NR2 and NR4 of the adopted Borough Local Plan and Policy NP/EN4 of the Adopted Ascot, Sunninghill and Sunningdale Neighbourhood Plan.

viii Trees

- 10.26 There are a number of trees on site and the application is supported by a tree survey, a tree protection plan and a tree planting plan. Most of the trees on site are retained as a result of the proposal including the Indian bean tree and the lime trees which are visible in the streetscene. The proposal includes the removal of a cherry and a plum tree, but these are proposed to be replaced by hornbeam and maple trees.

10.27 Tree protection is proposed during construction for the retained trees on site and the Corsican pines trees in the adjacent garden of 15 St James Gate, including a requirement for no mechanical digging in the root protection zones, including widening the access and the cycle parking. This will be secured by a recommended condition. The TPO to the north-east of the site is unaffected by the proposals.

10.28 Subject to the addition of the recommended conditions the works to trees and proposed tree planting is considered acceptable and in accordance with Policy NR3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan.

ix Other material considerations

10.29 There are no issues regarding drainage of the site subject to the recommended drainage condition as required by the LLFA, who have no objection to the scheme.

11. COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL)

11.1 The development would be liable to pay CIL based on the following:

Reason for liability	Increase in residential floorspace
CIL Charging Rate	£315.55 per sq m
New floorspace	867.8

12. PLANNING BALANCE

12.1 The proposal is considered acceptable in principle and will add 4 residential units to the Borough's overall housing land supply. The design, scale and massing of the development is considered appropriate in this location, along with the retention of the majority of trees on site, which retains the leafy suburban neighbourhood character. The proposal conforms to the amenity standards set out in the Borough Wide Design Guide and therefore does not result in undue harm to the residential amenities of the neighbouring occupiers. There are no noise implications that cannot be controlled by other legislation. Vehicular and cycle parking is in accordance with the Borough Parking Standards and parking is restricted on-street in any case. The development will not result in any harmful increase in vehicular movements. The ecological implications of the proposal area acceptable with the addition of recommendation conditions and S106 obligations relating to the impact on the Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area. The trees proposed to be removed are to be replanting with suitable alternatives. Since the development will not have a net-zero carbon rating S106 obligations are required in line with the Borough's Interim Sustainability Statement. The proposal will result in CIL payments. All these issues are in favour of the proposal. The development is not economically viable and as such cannot provide affordable housing in accordance with Policy HO3. This weighs against the proposal. However, since this is a Borough wide need rather than direct mitigation to ensure the development itself is acceptable, on balance, this is outweighed by the issues raised above that favour the development and as such, the planning balance is in favour of the proposal.

13 CONCLUSION

13.1 It is therefore recommended that planning permission is granted subject to the necessary legal agreement and relevant conditions.

14. APPENDICES TO THIS REPORT

- Appendix A - Site location plan and site layout
- Appendix B – plan and elevation drawings

15. CONDITIONS RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IF PERMISSION IS GRANTED

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be commenced within three years from the date of this permission.
Reason: To accord with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans listed below.
Reason: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved particulars and plans.
- 3 No development above slab level shall take place until details of the materials to be used on the external surfaces of the development have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out and maintained in accordance with the approved details.
Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area and in accordance with Policy QP3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
- 4 Prior to first occupation, the side elevations of the first and second floor balconies on the flats facing Charters Road closest to the rear of properties in St James Gate shall be fitted with an obscure privacy screen at least 1.8 metres high that shall be maintained as such at all times.
Reason: To prevent overlooking and loss of privacy to neighbouring occupiers and in accordance with Policy QP3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022).
- 5 The development shall not be occupied until all walls, fencing or any other means of enclosure have been constructed in accordance with details that have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
Reason: To ensure the satisfactory resultant appearance and standard of amenity of the site and the surrounding area and in accordance with Policy QP3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
- 6 Notwithstanding the submitted plans, no part of the development shall be occupied until details the refuse bin storage area and recycling facilities have been submitted and approved in a location that does result in harm to amenity of adjacent occupiers . Following this, these facilities shall be kept available for use in association with the development at all times.
Reason: To ensure that the development is provided with adequate facilities that allow it to be serviced in a manner which would not adversely affect the free flow of traffic and highway safety and to ensure the sustainability of the development and accordance with Policy QP3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
- 7 No part of the development shall be occupied until vehicle parking space has been provided in accordance with the approved drawing. Notwithstanding the approved plans there shall be 7no. electric charging parking provided on site. The space approved and the electric charging parking shall be retained for parking in association with the development.
Reason: To ensure that the development is provided with adequate parking facilities in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking which could be detrimental to the free flow of traffic and to highway safety and in accordance with Policies SP2 and IF2 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
- 8 No part of the development shall be occupied until covered and secure cycle parking facilities have been provided in accordance with the approved drawing. These facilities shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of cycles in association with the development at all times.
Reason: To ensure that the development is provided with adequate cycle parking facilities in order to encourage the use of alternative modes of transport and in accordance with Policy IF2 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
- 9 The erection of fencing for the protection of any retained tree and any other protection specified shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans and particulars before any equipment, machinery or materials are brought on to the site, and thereafter maintained until the completion of all construction work and all equipment, machinery and surplus materials have been permanently removed from the site. No mechanical digging shall take place root protection areas marked on Tree Protection Plan non 3467.1/02 included in the Tree Survey and Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report by Hankison Duckett Associates dated June 2021at any time. Nothing shall be stored or placed in any area fenced in accordance with this condition and the ground levels within those areas shall not be altered, nor shall any excavation be made unless hereby approved.
Reason: To protect trees which contribute to the visual amenities of the site and surrounding

- 10 area and in accordance with Policy NR3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
 No tree or hedgerow shown to be retained in the approved plans shall be cut down, uprooted or destroyed, nor shall any retained tree be lopped or topped other than in accordance with the approved plans and particulars or until five years from the date of occupation of the building for its permitted use. Any topping or lopping approved shall be carried out in accordance with British Standard 3998 Tree work. If any retained tree is removed, uprooted or destroyed or dies, another tree shall be planted in the immediate vicinity and that tree shall be of the same size and species unless the Local Planning Authority give its prior written consent to any variation.
Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area and in accordance with Policy NR3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
- 11 No development shall take place (including demolition, ground works and vegetation clearance) until a construction environmental management plan (CEMP: Biodiversity) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The CEMP (Biodiversity) shall include the following.a) Risk assessment of potentially damaging construction activities.b) Identification of "biodiversity protection zones".c) Practical measures (both physical measures and sensitive working practices) to avoid or reduce impacts during construction, d) The location and timing of sensitive works to avoid harm to biodiversity features.e) The times during construction when specialist ecologists need to be present on site to oversee works.f) Responsible persons and lines of communication.g) The role and responsibilities on site of an ecological clerk of works (ECoW) or similarly competent person.h) Use of protective fences, exclusion barriers and warning signs. The approved CEMP shall be adhered to and implemented throughout the construction period strictly in accordance with the approved details, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.
Reason: To ensure that impacts on protected species and other biodiversity are minimised in accordance with Paragraphs 170 and 179 of the NPPF, and NR3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan (February 2022)
- 12 No external lighting (including floodlighting) shall be installed until a report detailing the lighting scheme and how this will not adversely impact upon wildlife has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The report shall include the following figures and appendices:- A layout plan with beam orientation - A schedule of equipment - Measures to avoid glare - An isolux contour map showing light spillage to 1 lux both vertically and horizontally and areas identified as being of ecological importance.- Hours of operation of any external lighting. The approved lighting plan shall thereafter be implemented as agreed.
Reason: To ensure that wildlife is not adversely affected by the proposed development in line with para 179 of the NPPF.
- 13 The dwelling hereby permitted shall not be occupied until the locations and specifications of biodiversity enhancements - to include bat and bird boxes and native and wildlife friendly planting have been submitted and approved in writing by the Council. The biodiversity enhancements shall be installed as agreed.
Reason: To incorporate biodiversity in and around the development in accordance with paragraph 179 of the NPPF.
- 14 Prior to commencement (excluding demolition) a surface water drainage scheme for the development, based on sustainable drainage principles, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Details shall include:- Full details of all components of the proposed surface water drainage system including dimensions, locations, gradients, invert levels, cover levels and relevant construction details.- Supporting calculations confirming compliance with, the Non-statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems, and the agreed discharge rates and the attenuation volumes to be provided.- Details of the maintenance arrangements relating to the proposed surface water drainage system, confirming who will be responsible for its maintenance and the maintenance regime to be implemented. The surface water drainage system shall be implemented and maintained in accordance with the approved details thereafter.
Reason: To ensure compliance with the National Planning Policy Framework and the Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems, and to

Informatives

- 1 The applicant is reminded that, under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, as amended, it is an offence to remove, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird while that nest is in use or

being built. Planning consent for a development does not provide a defence against prosecution under this act.

- 2 This permission should be read in conjunction with the legal agreement entered into by the applicant with the Local Planning Authority and dated ****, concerning sustainability issues and a requirement to mitigate impact on the Thames Basin Heath Special Protection Area
- 3 The attention of the applicant is drawn to the Berkshire Act 1986, Part II, Clause 9, which enables the Highway Authority to recover the costs of repairing damage to the footway or grass verge arising during building operations.

APPENDIX A


Site location plan




Ordnance Survey. (c) Crown Copyright 2020. All rights reserved. Licence number 100032432

APPENDIX B

Ground floor plan




First floor plan



First Floor Plan

Second Floor plan



Second Floor Plan

Elevations



This page is intentionally left blank

1 March 2023

Item: 2

Application No.:	22/00715/FULL
Location:	Land At 11 And 11 Clifton Rise Windsor
Proposal:	x1 new semi-detached dwelling and new dropped kerb following demolition of existing elements.
Applicant:	Grewal
Agent:	Mr Mirsad Krasniqi
Parish/Ward:	Windsor Unparished/Clewer And Dedworth West
If you have a question about this report, please contact: Susan Sharman on 01628 685320 or at susan.sharman@rbwm.gov.uk	

1. SUMMARY

.1 The application site is within the built-up area of Windsor where the principle of development is acceptable. The application proposal involves the addition of one new dwelling to the side of an existing semi-detached house, resulting in a terrace of three dwellings of similar scale and appearance to each other and the surrounding development. Regular spacing between sets of dwellings would be maintained within the street scene and the development would not appear cramped on the plot. The proposal makes an efficient use of land without harming the character or appearance of the area or the living conditions of any neighbours. The development is of a high-quality, sustainable design that incorporates on-site renewable energy technology. Subject to planning conditions, there are no objections to the proposal on highway or ecology grounds.

It is recommended the Committee authorises the Head of Planning:	
1.	To grant planning permission on the satisfactory completion of an undertaking to ensure the development is net-zero carbon, as outlined in paragraphs 9.18 and 9.19 of this report and with the conditions listed in Section 12; or
2.	To refuse planning permission if an undertaking to ensure the development is net-zero carbon as outlined in paragraphs 9.18 and 9.19 of this report has not been satisfactorily completed for the reason that the proposed development would be contrary to Policy SP2 of the Borough Local Plan and the Council’s Interim Sustainability Statement.

2. REASON FOR PANEL DETERMINATION

- At the request of Councillor Davey for the following reasons: Development not in keeping with the character of area, adverse impact on parking and set a precedent on similar future applications harming the character of the area.

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND ITS SURROUNDINGS

- 3.1 The application site is located on the south side of Clifton Rise, Windsor, and comprises land to the side of the existing semi-detached house at No.11 Clifton Rise. The site is currently occupied by a detached single garage and single storey, flat-roofed extension to No.11.
- 3.2 The site is located within a circa 1960s, open-plan residential development of Windsor. The area is characterised by similarly designed brick and tile, semi-detached, gable-ended dwellings, with a number of properties extended at first floor level over the original attached garages. A public footpath runs adjacent to the west boundary of the site.

4. KEY CONSTRAINTS

- 4.1 There are no key planning constraints relating to the site.

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL AND ANY RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

5.1 The application seeks planning permission for a new, two-bedroom house to be adjoined to No. 11 Clifton Rise, following removal of the existing detached garage and single storey side extension. In addition, a new dropped kerb is proposed for the existing property at No.11.

5.2

Reference	Description	Decision and Date
21/03284/FULL	x1 new dwelling following demolition of existing elements.	Withdrawn – 19.01.2022.

6. DEVELOPMENT PLAN

6.1 The main Development Plan policies applying to the site are:

Issue	Policy
Spatial Strategy for the Borough	SP1
Climate Change	SP2
Sustainability and Placemaking	QP1
Character and Design of New Development	QP3
Housing Mix and Type	HO2
Nature Conservation and Biodiversity	NR2
Trees, Woodlands and Hedgerows	NR3
Sustainable Transport	IF2

Windsor Neighbourhood Plan (2011-2026)

Issue	Policy
Design in keeping with the character and appearance of area	DES.01
Highways/parking	PAR.01
Residential amenity	RES.01

7. MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

7.1 National Planning Policy Framework Sections (NPPF) (2021)

Section 4 – Decision-making

Section 5- Delivering a sufficient supply of homes

Section 11 – Making effective use of land

Section 12 – Achieving well-designed places

Section 15 – Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

7.2 Supplementary Planning Documents

- Borough Wide Design Guide (2020)
- RBWM Townscape Assessment (2010)

7.3 Other Local Strategies or Publications

- RBWM Parking Strategy (2004)

- Interim Sustainability Position Statement (2021)

8. CONSULTATIONS CARRIED OUT

Comments from interested parties

1 letter objecting to the proposal was received, as summarised below:

Comments:	Officer's response
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Terraced effect which is out of keeping with area. -Development will devalue No.9 Clifton Rise. -Proposal will lead more future similar applications in the area. 	<p>The impact of the proposal on the character and appearance of the area is considered in paragraphs 9.3 – 9.7 of this report. Whether a proposal will affect the market value of other properties is not a material planning consideration. Each application proposal is treated on its own merits.</p>

Consultees and Other parties

Comment	Officer's response
<p>Windsor Neighbourhood Plan Delivery Group;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Proposed application would change the semi-detached pair of properties into a terrace and would be out of keeping with the rest of the road. -Development will detract from open fronted character of the area. -The application has not considered, and does not conform, to the Laing Estate Design Guide. -Overall development contrary to Windsor Neighbourhood Plan Policy DES.01 and NP Area Design Guide. 	<p>Noted and addressed in paragraphs 9.3 – 9.7 of this report.</p>
<p><i>Ecology;</i></p> <p>No Objection to the proposal subject to external lighting and biodiversity enhancement conditions.</p>	<p>Noted and addressed in paragraph 9.16 of the report.</p>
<p><i>Highways;</i></p> <p>No objections raised subject to vehicle cycling parking conditions. The new dwelling and existing dwelling to be retained to be served by separate accesses physically distinguished by a clear separation wall/hedging.</p>	<p>Noted and addressed in paragraph 9.14 of this report.</p>

9. EXPLANATION OF RECOMMENDATION

9.1 The key issues for consideration are:

- i. The impact of the proposal on the character and appearance of the area;
- ii. The impact on the amenities of the neighbours and future occupiers of the development;
- iii. Parking provision and highway safety;
- iv. Ecology and Biodiversity matters; and
- v. Climate change and sustainability issues.

9.2 Impact on character and appearance of the area

9.3 The appearance of a development is a material planning consideration, and the design of a proposal should not have an adverse impact on the character and appearance of the street scene or wider area in which it is to be located. A core planning principle of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) is to ensure new development is of a high-quality design, and provides a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings. Section 12 of the NPPF seeks specifically to ensure that the scale, density, massing, height, landscaping, layout, materials and access of new development is sympathetic and relates well to neighbouring buildings and, more generally, the area in which it is to be located. Policies QP1 and QP3 of the adopted Borough Local Plan and the Borough Wide Design Guide mirror and complement this national planning policy approach.

9.4 The application site is located within the Laing Estate Neighbourhood Area, as identified in the Windsor Neighbourhood Plan, Appendix 2 Design Guide. The Area Character Assessment for this area describes the estate as comprising a mix of residential units, including bungalows, two-storey detached, semi-detached and terraced dwellings and three-storey town houses, which together incorporate a consistent set of design features contributing the estate's distinctive and attractive character. Development Guidance for the area explains that new development should not exceed the height and bulk of surrounding buildings and should observe existing building lines. In order to maintain the spacious feel of the estate, spatial separation between buildings should be retained, and infilling between buildings, where this creates the appearance of a terrace, should be avoided.

9.5 The area surrounding the application site is primarily characterised by semi-detached dwellings with attached single garages and regular, good-sized gaps between pairs of properties. Within the street scene it is apparent that a number of dwellings have two storey side extensions, or first floor extensions over the original attached garage, for example, at 8 Clifton Rise, directly opposite the application site, and 2 Clifton Rise respectively. Although these extensions have been constructed in line with the front elevations and main ridgelines of the host dwellings, increasing the overall width of the pairs of dwellings, gaps/ good sized spacing between the extended sides of the dwellings and the non-adjointing neighbouring properties have been maintained, avoiding the appearance of cramped development and allowing the spacious feel of the street scene to be retained.

9.6 The proposed dwelling would follow the same front and rear building lines and main ridge line as the existing pair of semi-detached houses to which it would be attached. In addition, it would have an almost identical appearance to the front elevation of the neighbouring property, incorporating matching design features and materials. Although the resultant group of dwellings would become a terrace of three houses, it would be similar in appearance to other dwellings, within the vicinity of the site, that have infilled previous gaps to the side by way of two-storey/first floor side extensions. Crucially, as with the extended neighbouring properties, a good-sized gap between the new dwelling and existing semi-detached house to the west, (No.13 Clifton Rise) would be retained, maintaining the spacious character of the street scene.

9.7 Overall, the proposed dwelling is sympathetic in scale and design to the surrounding development and would sit comfortably within the application site with sufficient space around it.

Although different in form, (a terraced house, rather than semi-detached one), the proposal will not significantly detract from the street scene, nor harm the character and appearance of the area. Accordingly, and subject to appropriate conditions in respect of materials and landscaping, the proposal complies with the relevant Development Plan policies and National Planning Policy.

9.8 Impact on amenities

9.9 Paragraph 130 (f) of the NPPF (2021) and Borough Local Plan Policy QP3 require development works to not cause any unacceptable impact on the amenities of the immediate neighbouring properties in terms of loss of privacy, loss of light or from appearing dominant or overbearing. The Borough Wide Design Guide and NPPF also require new residential development to provide a high level of amenity for future occupiers of the scheme.

9.10 The proposed rear elevation of the new dwelling would align with the existing main rear elevation of No.11 and therefore, the proposal will not harm the living conditions of the occupiers of No.11 in terms of loss of light, loss of privacy or from the dwelling appearing overbearing.

9.11 Other than the adjoining house at No.11, the closest neighbouring property to the new dwelling would be at No.13 Clifton Rise. As the main flank wall of this dwelling will be positioned over 10m away, the proposal will also not harm the living conditions of the neighbours of this property.

9.12 The proposed dwelling (2-bed) and the existing dwelling at No.11 (3-bed) will both have a private outdoor amenity space of at least 65sqm. This complies with Principle 8.2 of the Borough Wide Design Guide in relation to minimum outdoor amenity space requirements for 2/3 bed houses. Both dwellings would also comply with the internal space standards.

9.13 Overall, the proposal will provide sufficient private amenity space and living accommodation for future occupiers of the development and will not harm the living conditions of any neighbours. Accordingly, the proposal complies with the relevant Development Plan policies and the Borough Wide Design Guide SPD.

9.14 Highways

9.15 No. 11 Clifton Rise and the application dwelling would each be provided with 2 off-road parking spaces to the front of their respective plots, and this complies with the Council's adopted parking standards. In addition, each property will be provided with a cycle store to the rear of their respective sites, with separate external access. Subject to appropriate conditions, no objection is raised to the proposal on highway grounds.

9.16 Ecology and Biodiversity

9.17 An ecology report submitted with the application concludes that the existing garage and side extension to be demolished are unlikely to host roosting bats, however the site may be suitable for use by foraging and commuting bats. Furthermore, other than nesting birds which may use the shrubs and trees on site, (which are not protected but largely to be retained), the site is unlikely to support any protected or priority species. The report recommends any new external lighting to be installed as part of the new development to be wildlife-sensitive, so as to not adversely affect bats or other wildlife. The Council's ecologist has recommended a planning condition be imposed to this effect, together with a condition to secure biodiversity enhancements on the site in line Policy NR2 of the BLP and the NPPF. Subject to these conditions, there are no objections to the application on ecological grounds.

9.18 Climate Change and Sustainability

9.19 The Council's Interim Sustainability Statement (March 2021) requires new development over a certain size to achieve a net-zero carbon rating. Any shortfalls to this are required to be mitigated by way of a financial contribution to the Council's Carbon Offset Fund. Additionally, paragraphs 7 and 8, and Section 14 of the NPPF (2021) and Policy SP2 of the Borough Local Plan (2022),

encourage new development to be built to mitigate climate change, by including energy efficient features into their design and through the use of on-site, renewable energy technologies.

9.20 Information submitted with the application explains that carbon emissions from the development will be minimised by incorporating energy efficient measures within the design of the scheme and from installing 15 photovoltaic panels on the south-facing rear elevation, enabling the dwelling to produce its own electricity from a renewable resource. However, the scheme will not be net-zero carbon and therefore to mitigate this the applicant has agreed to enter into a Section 106 legal agreement with the Council to ensure the appropriate financial contribution will be made towards the Carbon Offset Fund. Subject to the satisfactory completion of the s.106 agreement, the proposal would comply with Policy SP2 of the BLP and the requirements of the Council’s Interim Sustainability Statement.

10. COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL)

10.1 The development would be liable to pay CIL based on the following:

Reason for liability	New residential development.
CIL Charging Rate	£315.55
New floorspace	90 sqm

11. APPENDICES TO THIS REPORT

- Appendix A - Site location plan & site layout plan
- Appendix B – Proposed ground floor plan & proposed first floor plan
- Appendix C – Proposed front elevation & proposed rear elevation
- Appendix D – Proposed front elevation context

12. CONDITIONS RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IF PERMISSION IS GRANTED

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be commenced within three years from the date of this permission.
Reason: To accord with the provisions of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
- 2 No development above slab level shall take place until details of the materials to be used on the external surfaces of the development have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out and maintained in accordance with the approved details.
Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area. Relevant Policy QP3.
- 3 No part of the development shall be occupied until vehicle parking spaces has been provided in accordance with the approved drawing. The spaces approved shall be retained for parking in association with the development.
Reason: To ensure that the development is provided with adequate parking facilities in order to reduce the likelihood of roadside parking which could be detrimental to the free flow of traffic and to highway safety. Relevant Policies - Borough Local Plan IF2.
- 4 No part of the development shall be occupied until covered and secure cycle parking facilities have been provided in accordance with details that have first been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These facilities shall thereafter be kept available for the parking of cycles in association with the development at all times.
Reason: To ensure that the development is provided with adequate parking facilities in order to encourage the use of alternative modes of transport. Relevant Policies - Borough Local Plan IF2.
- 5 Prior to the installation of an external lighting, a report detailing the external lighting scheme, and how this will not adversely impact upon wildlife, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The report shall include the following figures and appendices: A layout plan with beam orientation; A schedule of equipment; Measures to avoid glare and; An isolux contour map showing light spillage to 1 lux both vertically and horizontally, areas identified as being of importance for commuting and foraging bats, and locations of bird and bat boxes. The approved lighting plan shall thereafter be implemented and maintained as agreed.
Reason: To limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on nature conservation in

accordance with paragraph 185 of the NPPF.

- 6 Prior to the commencement of the development above slab level, details of biodiversity enhancements, to include integral bird and bat boxes, tiles or bricks on the new building (including at least 1 Swift brick), and native and wildlife friendly landscaping (including pollen-rich and fruit-bearing planting, and gaps created at the bases of fences to allow hedgehogs to traverse through the gardens), together with the timescales that these enhancements shall be implemented shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The biodiversity enhancements shall thereafter be installed in accordance with these approved details and retained in perpetuity.

Reason: To incorporate biodiversity in and around developments in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and local policy NR2.

- 7 No development above slab level shall commence until full details of both hard and soft landscape works, have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These works shall be carried out as approved within the first planting season following the substantial completion of the development and retained in accordance with the approved details. If within a period of five years from the date of planting of any tree or shrub shown on the approved landscaping plan, that tree or shrub, or any tree or shrub planted in replacement for it, is removed, uprooted or destroyed or dies, or becomes seriously damaged or defective, another tree or shrub of the same species and size as that originally planted shall be planted in the immediate vicinity.

Reason: To ensure a form of development that maintains, and contributes positively to, the character and appearance of the area. Relevant Policies - Local Plan QP3

- 8 The development shall be implemented in accordance with energy efficiency measures and renewable energy technology proposed for the scheme in the Energy Statement dated 1st March 2022.

Reason: To help reduce energy use and carbon emissions from the development. Relevant Policy BLP SP2.

- 9 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans listed below.

Reason: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved particulars and plans.




Site Location Plan

Application site




Site Layout Plan

Application site



Proposed Ground Floor Plan



Proposed First Floor Plan



Proposed Front Elevation



Proposed Rear Elevation



Proposed Front Elevation Context

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 6

DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PANEL

1 March 2023

Item: 3

Application No.:	22/01354/OUT
Location:	Land Bounded By Willow Path And The Limes And Windsor Road And Dedworth Road And Oakley Green Road Oakley Green Windsor
Proposal:	Outline application for access only to be considered at this stage with all other matters to be reserved for the construction of up to x320 new homes, land for a Special Educational Needs (SEN) school, a multi-functional community building alongside an area of strategic open space including play spaces and orchard planting together with associated landscaping, car parking, footpath/cycle connections and vehicular access on to Dedworth Road, following demolition of existing structures.
Applicant:	Mr Van Laun
Agent:	Mr Philip Allin
Parish/Ward:	Bray Parish/Clewer And Dedworth West
If you have a question about this report, please contact: Alison Long on 01628 796070 or at alison.long@rbwm.gov.uk	

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 The application site comprises the southern portion of an allocated site for housing under the adopted Borough Local Plan (BLP). Since the adoption of the BLP, the Green Belt boundaries of the site have been redrawn and the site no longer falls within the Green Belt designation, as set out in the supporting proposals maps.
- .2 The BLP sets out that Site Allocation AL21, which includes the application site, has been allocated for approximately 450 units, with associated works, and sets out the expectation of proposals in delivering a scheme at the site. Application ref. 22/00934/OUT which relates to the northern portion of the AL21 Site Allocation (see section 6.2 below), was heard at the Planning Committee on the 2nd November 2022 and planning permission was subsequently granted on the 17th November 2022. The principle of the current proposal which relates to the southern portion of the AL21 Site Allocation, satisfies the context of the Site Allocation within the BLP and the principle of the development is acceptable.
- .3 The proposal is an outline planning application, for access only to be considered at this stage with all other matters to be reserved including layout, for up to 320 new dwellings, together with land for a Special Educational Needs (SEN) school, a multi-functional community building, alongside associated works. The report sets out the relevant Development Plan and other policy considerations relevant to this planning application as well as the necessary consultation responses that have been submitted during the course of the application. The report also sets out the main material planning considerations and assessment in relation to this planning application.
- .4 Of the up to 320 new dwellings proposed, 40% would be affordable and 5% of market housing units would be fully serviced custom and self build plots. The legal agreement would secure this provision, together with an appropriate tenure mix and securing a Registered Provider for the affordable housing in order to ensure that proposal delivers an appropriate mix of housing in line with the requirements of the BLP. The provision and management of the community building and the transfer of the land for the SEN school would also be secured by the legal agreement. Matters of design and layout within the site would be addressed as part of a future reserved matters application; however, appropriate height, form and design principles are secured through submitted parameter plans and a design code.
- .5 It has been demonstrated that the proposed vehicular access from Dedworth Road, together with the cycle and pedestrian access points are acceptable and the development as a whole would not result in material harm to pedestrian and highway safety in the surrounding area, subject to securing financial contributions for relevant highway work improvement in the legal

agreement/S278 Agreement. This includes the upgrading of the Oakley Green Road and A308 junction and the access point from Dedworth Road through a S278 Agreement and pedestrian/cycle improvement works in the surrounding area as detailed within the report.

- .6 It has also been demonstrated that the outline proposals would not result in material harm to heritage assets, including non-designated non-heritage assets, ecology, trees, landscaping and has the potential to introduce sustainability measures to reduce the carbon footprint of the development, subject to the use of appropriate conditions and/or securing through the legal agreement.
- .7 The site is located largely within Flood Zone 1, with small areas to the west of the site located within Flood Zones 2 and 3. The principle of the development of the site was established under the BLP examination; however, technical information is required at application stage in order to demonstrate compliance with relevant development plan policies. At the time of writing this report, the Environment Agency (EA) has raised a technical objection to the application relating to modelling in order to determine whether the development is located outside the 1% annual exceedance probability (AEP) plus 35% allowance for climate change flood extent and whether therefore any flood storage compensation measures would be required. The applicants have submitted further information for review by the EA in order to demonstrate that the development would not increase flood risk in the surrounding area. The EA's response at the time of writing the report is outstanding. The recommendation is therefore to grant planning permission upon the removal of the EA objection following this reconsultation and subject to recommended conditions to ensure that there would be no increased flood risk as a result of the development of the site.

It is recommended the Committee authorises the Head of Planning:	
1.	<p>To grant planning permission upon the removal of the Environment Agency (EA) objection and on the satisfactory completion of an undertaking to secure the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On-site policy compliant affordable housing; • On-site policy compliant self- build and custom build units; • Highway works through a S278 Agreement; • Highway works contributions towards pedestrian, cycle and sustainable transport improvements; • Carbon off-set contributions (if required); • Travel plan and associated monitoring fee; and, • Sport pitch contribution (if required). <p>and with the conditions listed in Section 15 of this report.</p>
2.	<p>To refuse planning permission if an undertaking to secure the infrastructure in Section 10 of this report has not been satisfactorily completed for the reason that the proposed development would not be accompanied by affordable housing, required highway infrastructure, and other associated infrastructure/contribution provision.</p>

2. REASON FOR COMMITTEE DETERMINATION

- The Council's Constitution does not give the Head of Planning delegated powers to determine the application in the way recommended; such decisions can only be made by the Committee as the application is for major development.

3. THE SITE AND ITS SURROUNDINGS

- 3.1 The application site comprises an area of land comprising a mix of fields, dog kennels, two existing residential dwellings and part of a former garden centre which has largely been redeveloped as a grocery store. The land is bounded to the north by the A308, the Willows Path and existing residential development to the east, Dedworth Road to the south and Oakley Green Road to the west. The site is approximately 3.6km north-west of Windsor.
- 3.2 The site has a total area of 15.7 ha, which is largely within Flood Zone 1, with small areas to the west of the site located within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Immediately adjacent to the south of the site is the Cardinal Clinic, which includes the Grade II* Old Farmhouse and grounds.
- 3.3 The application site, along with land to the north of the A308 (assessed under application ref. 22/00934/OUT) forms part of the A21 Land west of Windsor, north and south of the A308 Site Allocation within the BLP.

4. KEY CONSTRAINTS

- 4.1 The key site designations and constraints are listed below:

- vi. BLP Site Allocation AL21 Land west of Windsor, north and south of the A308
- vii. West of the site located within Flood Zone 3

- 4.2 The site previously fell within the Green Belt designation. However, since the adoption of the BLP in February 2022, the Green Belt boundaries have been redrawn to exclude this allocated site.

5. THE PROPOSAL

- 5.1 The application seeks outline planning permission for access only to be considered at this stage with all other matters (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) to be reserved for the following development at the site, following the demolition of the existing structures:
- erection of up to 320 new dwellings (40% affordable);
 - land for a new 150 pupil SEN school;
 - provision of a multi-functional community building;
 - area of strategic open space (no less than 1.2Ha), including play spaces and orchard planting;
 - associated landscaping, car parking, footpath/cycle connections; and,
 - vehicular access onto Dedworth Road.
- 5.2 An illustrative plan has been provided with the application which shows how the site could be developed in line with the proposals above. However, this is indicative only and the application relates only to the principle of the development and access, of which one point is proposed from Dedworth Road to serve the development. There would be no vehicular access to the A308.
- 5.3 Subsequent reserved matters applications would determine the exact appearance, landscaping, layout and scale within the site. However, a parameter plan/design code has been submitted which sets out that the development would generally be limited to three storeys, with the potential for statement or key buildings of up to four storeys towards the centre, lining the main access road. To the areas backing onto the south west corner of the site where the Cardinal Clinic is located and to the western area around the residential property, Rainworth, a maximum of two storeys in height is proposed.
- 5.4 The proposals for the SEN school would also form part of a separate planning application.

6. RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

- 6.1 There is no relevant planning history for the site. However, together with application ref. 22/00934/OUT (see below) which relates to the northern portion of the AL21 Site Allocation, a Stakeholder Masterplan document (SMD) for the whole site was approved by Cabinet on the 28th October 2021.
- 6.2 At the time of submission, the two applications had been co-ordinated by the applicant in order to ensure that a comprehensive development of the wider site allocation can be delivered; however, the two applications are separate and can be implemented and function so. Outline planning permission was granted for the northern portion of the AL21 Site Allocation in November 2022 (see table below).

Reference	Description	Decision
22/00934/OUT	Outline application for access only to be considered at this stage with all other matters to be reserved for the erection of up to 135 new dwellings, areas of public open space including play spaces and pocket allotments, together with associated landscaping, car parking, footpath/cycle connections and vehicular access onto Maidenhead Road.	Granted 17.11.2022

7. DEVELOPMENT PLAN

- 7.1 The main relevant policies are:

Borough Local Plan (BLP)

Issue	Policy
Spatial Strategy for the Borough	SP1
Climate Change	SP2
Sustainability and Placemaking	QP1
Green and Blue Infrastructure	QP2
Character and Design of New Development	QP3
Building Height and Tall Buildings	QP3a
Housing Development Sites	HO1
Housing Mix and Type	HO2
Affordable Housing	HO3
Historic Environment	HE1
Managing Flood Risk and Waterways	NR1
Nature Conservation and Biodiversity	NR2
Trees, Woodlands and Hedgerows	NR3
Environmental Protection	EP1
Air Pollution	EP2
Artificial Light Pollution	EP3
Noise	EP4
Contaminated Land and Water	EP5

Infrastructure and Developer Contributions	IF1
Sustainable Transport	IF2
Open Space	IF4
Rights of Way and Access to the Countryside	IF5
Utilities	IF7

7.2 As noted above the site fall within the wider AL21 Site Allocation and as such additional reference is made to Policy HO1 and the associated AL21 Site Proforma below.

8. MATERIAL PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

National Planning Policy Framework Sections (NPPF) (2021)

Section 2 – Achieving sustainable development

Section 4- Decision-making

Section 5 – Delivering a sufficient supply of homes

Section 8 – Promoting healthy and safe communities

Section 9- Promoting Sustainable Transport

Section 11 – Making effective use of land

Section 12- Achieving well-designed places

Section 14- Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change

Section 15 – Conserving and enhancing the natural environment

Section 16- Conserving and enhancing the historic environment

Supplementary Planning Documents

- Borough Wide Design Guide
- Sustainable Design and Construction SPD

Other Local Strategies or Publications

Other Strategies or publications material to the proposal are:

- RBWM Parking Strategy
- RBWM Interim Sustainability Position Statement
- RBWM Environment and Climate Strategy
- RBWM Corporate Plan

9. CONSULTATIONS CARRIED OUT

Comments from interested parties

89 occupiers were initially notified directly. A further 5 occupiers were subsequently notified directly.

The planning officer posted a notice at the site on 1st June 2022 and the application was advertised in the Local Press on 2nd June 2022.

1 letter was received supporting the application, summarised as:

Comment	Where in the report this is considered
1. New houses are needed to ease the housing crisis.	Noted. The principle of the development is acceptable.
2. Happy to see the environment and community	Noted.

	aspects have been considered with the inclusion of a SEN school, community building and open spaces.	
--	--	--

10 letters were received objecting to the application, summarised as:

Comment		Where in the report this is considered
1.	Liberal distribution of green spaces/corridors alongside existing properties, unlike the north site where there is no boundary along the east which is a NDHA. There is no basis for this.	Noted. Each individual application is considered on its merits at the time of submission, in accordance with relevant development plan policies.
2.	Dedworth Road is already very busy and the development would create more car movements per day with just new residents, let alone a school and community building.	Section 10.20 – 10.27
3.	Developers should reconsider the single access road and its close proximity to the supermarket entrance.	Section 10.20 – 10.27
4.	More information should be publicly available on the pricing model, especially the affordable housing offer.	The provision of appropriate and policy compliant affordable housing would be secured as part of the required legal agreement. The pricing models for the sale of the units outside of this is not required for the determination of the planning application.
5.	More traffic means more air pollution. Bray Parish Council's air quality survey shows that current result already exceeds the WHO guidelines. Further analysis is required on the effect on local air quality before any decisions about additional housing are made.	Section 10.39 – 10.40
6.	The BLP is fundamentally flawed and ignores the objections of thousands of local residents who whose lives will be blighted if this ill-conceived development goes ahead.	The BLP has been found to be sound by the Planning Inspectorate and has been formally adopted by the Council.
7.	AL21 is Green Belt land and should not be used.	Section 4.2.
8.	RBWM has failed to produce the long awaited A308 corridor study. This report is required before not after any decision is made on this application.	Section 10.20 – 10.27
9.	Problems with viewing the documents online.	The documents have been made available to view on the Council's website during the course of the planning application.

10.	Why is this application submitted separately to the full proposed project. The application should be submitted as a whole project in the interests of full disclosure and transparency.	Section 6.1.
11.	The site should be returned to the Green Belt and not developed.	Section 4.2.
12.	Access to Dedworth Road should be denied until the whole project can be assessed in its entirety.	The application is considered on its merits at the time of submission, in accordance with relevant development plan policies.

Statutory consultees

Consultee	Comment	Where in the report this is considered
Local Lead Flood Authority	No objection, subject to recommended condition.	Section 10.15 – 10.19
Environment Agency	Objection. The EA have been reconsulted on updated information provided by the applicant. At the time of drafting this report, the EA's latest response is outstanding.	Section 10.15 – 10.19
Historic England	No advice offered. Confirmation that no necessary to consult on this application again unless there are material changes to the proposals.	Section 10.28 – 10.31
Natural England	No objection.	Section 10.46 – 10.54

Consultees

Consultee	Comment	Where in the report this is considered
Highways	No objection, subject to recommended condition and securing works under a legal agreement/S278 agreement.	Section 10.20 – 10.27
Conservation	No objection.	Section 10.28 – 10.31
Ecology	No objection, subject to recommended condition.	Section 10.46 – 10.54
Environmental Protection	No objection, subject to recommended condition.	Section 10.39 – 10.42
Housing	No objection, subject to securing appropriate provision, delivery and tenure mix as part of a legal agreement.	Section 10.12 – 10.14
Berkshire Archaeology	No objection, subject to recommended condition.	Section 10.55 – 10.56
Trees	No objection, subject to recommended condition.	Section 10.43 – 10.45

Thames Water	No objection, subject to recommended condition.	Section 10.15 – 10.19
--------------	---	-----------------------

Others (e.g. Parish and Amenity Groups)

Group	Comment	Where in the report this is considered
Bray Parish Council	<p>Concern that some immediate neighbours were not notified of proposed plans.</p> <p>Whilst aware that AL21 is in the BLP, application will not be recommended for approval that impact the Windsor Road part of the A308 and its surrounding road until there is a clear report of access and effects; noting the J600,000 investigation into the A308 commissioned December 2018 is three years late from its completion date of summer 2019.</p> <p>It is the duty of the Highways Authority to take a strategic view of the Windsor Road and the surrounding areas like the Dedworth Road to access any development impact to local traffic. A single developer who only controls the land they own, cannot possibly undertake this task.</p> <p>Concerns over already high levels of air pollution for this part of the Parish. Annualised and bias corrected figures within the area of 25.69 and 15.48 NO2.</p> <p>Concerns that despite stakeholder meetings there continues to be a lack of communication between developers and residents.</p>	Section 10
Oakley Green and Fifield Residents Association	<p>Air quality at the site is considerably above the WHO guidelines and the worst in the parish. There should be no more building projects undertaken until and unless the situation is drastically improved. Increased traffic would only exacerbate the serious problem.</p> <p>The junction of Oakley Green Road and Dedworth Road is narrow, with poor site lines. Large construction vehicles would have difficulties turning and again, would increase traffic pollution on top of that seen from the new Aldi store. Any use of Ruddlesway as a 'rat run' would be unsuitable for a quiet residential area.</p> <p>Any attempt to widen this junction would cause irreparable harm to the rural nature of this area. Furthermore, the Green Oak is currently a very popular country bar/restaurant and could be adversely affected by changing the appearance.</p> <p>If this development is to go ahead, it should be adjusted to allow access from the A308 where it would be easier to manager, particularly as there are also plans to develop the opposite side of the</p>	Section 10

	A308.	
--	-------	--

10. EXPLANATION OF RECOMMENDATION

10.1 The key issues for consideration are:

- i Principle of the redevelopment of the site;
- ii Climate change and sustainability;
- iii Affordable housing;
- v Flooding and Sustainable Drainage;
- vi Highway safety;
- vii Design and character;
- viii Impact on Heritage Assets;
- ix Impact on amenity of neighbouring buildings; and,
- x Other Material Considerations.

Principle of redevelopment

10.2 Policy HO1 of the BLP commits to providing at least 14,240 new dwellings in the plan period up to 2033 that will focus on existing urban areas and the allocations listed within the policy and as shown on the Proposals Map.

10.3 The application site comprises the southern portion of Site Allocation AL21 Land west of Windsor, north and south of the A308 which is allocated for *'approximately 450 residential units, strategic public open space, formal pitch provision for football and rugby, multi-functional community hub (including a caf  and internal space for community meetings/activities) and a Special Educational Needs School (approximately 150 pupil capacity).'* The Green Belt boundaries have been re-drawn under the current BLP and the application site is no longer within the Green Belt.

10.4 The BLP identifies the site as appropriate for residential, educational and community use development subject to site specific requirements. This list of requirements is set out within the BLP and their adherence should be demonstrated by any proposed development at the site. The requirements are:

- i) Retain the hidden nature of the site in the landscape through retention and reinforcement of existing tree belts and hedgerows along roads (especially the A308 Windsor Road) and by retaining low building heights that are reflective of local contextual heights
- ii) Be highly connected with surrounding streets, public rights of way and public transport corridors to ensure that the development integrates into the Windsor urban area
- iii) Provide a highly permeable layout within the site focused on the strategic public open space
- iv) Provide pedestrian and cycle links through the site and into surrounding streets and rights of way to improve connectivity
- v) Enhance existing pedestrian and cycle links towards Maidenhead and Windsor
- vi) Ensure that the development is well served by public bus routes/demand responsive transport/other innovative public transport solutions, with appropriate provision for new bus stop infrastructure, such that the bus is an attractive alternative to the private car for local journeys, including to local railway stations
- vii) Develop and implement a robust residential Travel Plan to manage travel to and from the site and reduce instances of single-occupancy car trips, including a car club for residents
- viii) Provide a strong high quality green and blue infrastructure network across both elements of the site that uses existing trees, water courses and landscaping elements as its base framework. The Green infrastructure network will need to support enhanced biodiversity, recreation, food production and leisure functions
- ix) Ensure the strategic public open space is of very high design quality and is located in the southern parcel of the site with the community hub and educational facilities located near to or fronting the space
- x) Retain valuable trees at site boundaries
- xi) Be of very high quality design that respects the framework of trees and hedgerows in and around the site

- xii) Provide a series of character areas across the site, each focused on an element of the green and blue infrastructure network
- xiii) Provide 40% affordable housing
- xiv) Provide family housing with gardens
- xv) Provide 5% of market housing units as custom and self build plots (fully serviced)
- xvi) Conserve, or preferably enhance, the Grade II* Listed The Old Farmhouse and its setting
- xvii) Consider and avoid or, where necessary, mitigate potential disturbance impacts on the users of the Cardinal Clinic
- xviii) Ensure appropriate edge treatments and transition to the countryside
- xix) Provide allotments or community garden/orchards by accommodating these uses on areas of best and most versatile land where practical, subject to wider site layout and design considerations
- xx) Consider flood risk as part of a Flood Risk Assessment as the site is partially located within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and larger than one hectare. This will need to demonstrate that the exception test can be passed and that a safe evacuation route can be provided
- xxi) Demonstrate the sustainable management of surface water runoff through the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) in line with policy and best practice; any proposed surface water discharge must be limited to greenfield runoff rates
- xxii) Provide appropriate mitigation measures to address the impacts of noise and air pollution to protect residential amenity.

- 10.5 The application relates to the southern section of Site Allocation AL21 and comprises a residential development of up to 320 new dwellings, in addition to land for a SEN school, provision of a multi-functional community building and an associated area of strategic open space, including play spaces and orchard planting, landscaping, car parking and footpath/cycle connections, all accessed from a vehicular access point on Dedworth Road. Of the up to 320 new dwellings, 40% would be affordable, with 5% of market housing units custom and self build plots (fully serviced) which equates to four units. The current application is at outline stage, with access only and all other matters reserved. As such, the final form, design and layout of the development would be provided at reserved matters stage. However, it has been demonstrated through illustrative layouts that the site can incorporate development of this form, alongside areas of public open play spaces, allotments, landscaping, car parking, footpath/cycle connections and associated vehicular accesses.
- 10.6 As the site now falls outside the Green Belt and is an allocated site for development of this form (AL21), the principle of development is acceptable, subject to the proposal satisfactorily achieving compliance with the site-specific requirements set out in the BLP, the parameters of the approved SMD and wider BLP policies, as addressed in detail within this section of the report.
- 10.7 The legal agreement secured as part of this application would secure the provision of 40% affordable housing, as detailed below, together with the 5% of market housing units as fully serviced custom and self build plots. In addition, the legal agreement would also secure required matters relevant to the development, as detailed below, in order to demonstrate compliance with wider BLP policies.
- 10.8 The planning application includes a proposal for a SEN school and this is shown on the indicative layout. The transfer of this land to RBWM for implementation of this element of the development of the site would be secured as part of the legal agreement. Similarly, the layout also shows provision of a multi-functional community building and an area of strategic open space, including play spaces and orchard planting. The legal agreement would secure the management of this, together with a contribution towards new provision and/or enhancement of existing pitches in the Windsor/Bray area and the management/operation of the community building.

Climate change and sustainability

- 10.9 New development is expected to demonstrate how it has incorporated sustainable principles into the development including, construction techniques, renewable energy, green infrastructure and carbon reduction technologies as set out in Policy SP2 of the BLP that requires all development to demonstrate how they have been designed to incorporate measures to adapt to and mitigate climate change.

- 10.10 A Sustainability and Energy Statement has been submitted as part of the planning application. This sets out the energy efficiency, low carbon and renewable energy measure which could be incorporated into the detailed design and uses assumed calculations for homes which are similar in scale, design and specification. The report highlights the use of passive design measures, air source heat pumps to all homes, together with photovoltaic panels and the use of energy efficient, low-carbon and renewable technologies and water efficiency measures. The Statement, based on these assumptions, predicts the potential for a 68.36% carbon reduction over current building regulations based on the fabric performance of the proposed buildings and the provision of air source heat pumps and photovoltaic panels.
- 10.11 The proposed development would also be designed to minimise pollution, be adaptable to climate change and also consider health and wellbeing. Whilst the application is outline and the proposed sustainable strategy is indicative and sets out what could be achieved, on this basis, the proposed development would sufficiently incorporate sustainable design techniques. Condition 22 is recommended which would secure the submission of an updated Energy and Sustainability Statement as part of a future reserved matters application. This would provide further details of sustainable design and construction measures to be incorporated into the development to achieve, as far as possible, a net-zero carbon outcome on site. Notwithstanding this, as the development is not proposed to be net zero carbon at this stage, the legal agreement would secure an appropriate carbon off-set contribution at reserved matters stage should the development not be net zero carbon. This would ensure compliance with the requirements of policy SP2 of the BLP and the Council's Interim Sustainability Statement.

Affordable Housing

- 10.12 Policy HO3 of the BLP states that the Council will require all developments for 10 dwellings gross to provide on-site affordable housing in accordance with the following:

On greenfield sites providing up to 500 dwellings gross - 40% of the total number of units proposed on the site;

b. On all other sites, (including those over 500 dwellings) – 30% of the total number of units.

Policy HO3 goes on to set out that affordable housing size and tenure mix shall be provided in accordance with the Berkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2016, or subsequent affordable housing needs evidence. This currently suggests a split of 45% social rent, 35% affordable rent and 20% intermediate tenure overall. The Site Allocation proforma is also relevant and requires the provision of 40% affordable housing provision.

- 10.13 The proposed development would provide 40% affordable housing, which would equate to up to 128 dwellings. Whilst the application is at outline stage only, relating to access, the supporting documents set out that it is anticipated that the affordable unit would be located within 11 clusters and would be in the range of one bedroom apartments to four bedroom houses. The proposed level of provision complies with the proforma requirements and BLP policy HO3, with the provision of 40% affordable housing.
- 10.14 This provision and the associated tenure mix and units sizes, which in this case should be 15% one bedroom apartments, 20% two bedroom apartments, 25% two bedroom houses, 30% three bedroom houses and 10% four bedroom homes in order to comply with the identified need, would be secured as part of the required legal agreement. The legal agreement would also secure a Registered Provider and appropriate delivery mechanisms for constructing, completing and transferring the affordable units.

Flooding and Sustainable Urban Drainage

- 10.15 Policy NR1 of the BLP states that a sequential test for all development in areas at risk of flooding is required except for those allocated in the BLP or a Made Neighbourhood Plan. As the site forms part of the AL21 Site Allocation, there is no requirement for a Sequential Test to be undertaken. Notwithstanding this, the objectives of Policy NR1 are relevant and development proposals should increase the storage capacity of the flood plain where possible, incorporate

SuDS system, reduce flood risk, be constricted with adequate flood resilience and where appropriate to demonstrate safe access and egress. The exception test will need to be applied where applicable.

- 10.16 The application has been submitted alongside a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and Drainage Strategy. The site is predominantly located within Flood Zone 1, with small areas to the west of the site located within Flood Zone 2 and 3. The illustrative layout plan demonstrates that the proposed built form can be accommodated in areas which are at very low risk of flooding from all potential sources, outside of the areas which fall within Flood Zone 3 and accordingly, in this case the Exceptions Test does not need to be passed for the development to comply with policy NR1.
- 10.17 The application must though assess the flood risks posed by the development and demonstrate that the development would not increase flood risk elsewhere in the form of demonstrating that the development is outside the 1% annual exceedance probability (AEP) plus 35% allowance for climate change flood extent. If it is not, the EA have advised that flood storage compensation measures would need to be provided. At the time of writing the report, the EA have raised an objection to the application based on the modelling provided. The applicants have sought to address the objection and the EA have been formally reconsulted. The site is an allocated site within the BLP and the principle of the development of the site was accepted as part of the BLP examination process. However, this technical information is required at application stage. The development is located outside Flood Zone 3 and this technical information is a required to demonstrate that the impact of climate change over the lifetime of the development would not result in flood risk to future and neighbouring occupiers. On this basis, the recommendation is that the resolution is to grant planning permission upon the removal of the EA objection, in addition to condition 29 which would secure detail to demonstrate that the ordinary watercourse to the west of the site does not pose a flood risk in the surrounding area, taking into account the impact of climate change using appropriate climate change allowances, or appropriate flood storage compensation measures if required.
- 10.18 Notwithstanding the above technical point, the FRA does set out a number of measures which would be implemented as part of the development, including the use of an appropriate surface water drainage strategy design to ensure that off site flood risk is not increased because of the proposed development, setting dwellings at least 150 mm above external finished ground levels, and designing external finished ground levels to safely route overland flows away from buildings, using less vulnerable parts of the proposed development such as gardens, driveways, parking areas and roads to convey and / or store overland flows during extreme storm events. This would be secured by recommended condition 20.
- 10.19 With regard to Surface Water and Sustainable Drainage and the associated strategy, the proposed drainage strategy and associated works to overcome constraints is acceptable for the purposes of the outline planning application. The submitted information demonstrates that subject to recommended condition 21 which would secure a surface water drainage scheme for the development, based on the submitted sustainable drainage strategy, prior to commencement of development, the proposals have been designed to take into account and satisfactorily address surface water flood risk in the surrounding area. A more detailed strategy would be secured by this recommended condition.

Highway safety

- 10.20 Policy IF2 of the BLP requires new development to be located close to offices and employment, shops and local services and facilities and provide safe, convenient and sustainable modes of transport as well as development proposals demonstrating how they have met a range of criteria including being designed to improve accessibility to public transport, to be located so as to reduce the need for vehicular movements and to provide cycle parking in accordance with the Parking Strategy. Policy IF2 is consistent with the overarching objectives of Section 9 of the NPPF which seeks similar goals in seeking to ensure development proposal maximise and promote opportunities for sustainable transport modes.
- 10.21 The application has been submitted alongside both a Transport Assessment (TA) and a Framework Travel Plan. The site is bordered to the north by the A308 Windsor Road, to the south


by the B3024 Dedworth Road, and to the west by the B3024 Oakley Green Road. The site is located approximately 6.3km south-east of Maidenhead and 3.6km north-west of Windsor. Vehicles travelling west along the A308 from the Ruddlesway/A308 Windsor Road roundabout are subject to a 50mph speed limit, with eastbound vehicles, the A308 is subject to a 40mph speed limit. The site is within reasonable walking distance to the majority of the amenities and facilities located to the east of Dedworth Road and is close proximity to a supermarket on Dedworth Road.

- 10.22 The site has been allocated for residential development and the principle is acceptable, subject to demonstrating that the proposals would not result in material harm to the safe operation of the surrounding highway network. The indicative site layout includes seven pedestrian/cycle connections onto the Willow Path/Ruddlesway, Dedworth Road, Oakley Green Road, and across the A308. This would ensure that the development is well connected to the Windsor urban area.
- 10.23 The proposed development would be served by a simple priority junction off Dedworth Road comprising a 6m carriageway, with a 2m footway to the east and a 3m shared pedestrian and cycle path to the west, separated by a grass verge. It is set out in the submission documents that the new access would achieve visibility splays of 2.40m x 56m to the right (west), by 60m to the left. This is acceptable. Condition 8 is recommended to secure further details of the access and construction of the access prior to commencement of any other part of the development.
- 10.24 The submission documents provide details of the expected peak time trip generation as a result of the proposed development of the site (including the northern site also) as shown in the table below:

	MORNING PEAK PERIOD			EVENING PEAK PERIOD		
	Arrivals	Departures	Two-Way	Arrivals	Departure	Two-way
Northern Site	18	49	68	47	21	68
Southern Site	43	116	159	111	49	160
SEN School	17	3	20	0	34	34
TOTAL	78	169	247	158	104	262

The expected additional trip generations associated with the development would not result in material harm to the safe operation of the surrounding highway network.

- 10.25 The submission also includes junction capacity assessment of existing key junctions on the surrounding highway network, and these are listed below:
- Junction 1 - Dedworth Road junction with Site Access (*Southern Site*);
 - Junction 2 - Maidenhead Road junction with Site Access (*Northern Site*);
 - Junction 3 - A308 Windsor Road junction with Maidenhead Road and Ruddlesway Roundabout;
 - Junction 4 - Dedworth Road junction with Mini Roundabout;
 - Junction 5 - Dedworth Road junction with Oakley Green Road; and,
 - Junction 6 - Oakley Green Road junction with A308 Windsor Road.
- 10.26 The submitted modelling demonstrates that junctions 1–5 would continue to operate within capacity between 2023 and 2033 with the additional trip generation associated with the development. However, the modelling concludes that junction 6 - Oakley Green Road junction with A308 Windsor Road, would operate over capacity in 2023. In order to address this, the proposal seeks to signalise junction 6 and construct two lanes on all approaches, as well as introducing a controlled crossing on the eastern arm. An illustrative plan of the proposed signalised junction is shown below, with the final designs subject to further review at the reserved matters stage, secured through a Section 278 Highways Agreement:



10.27 In addition to the new junction, the required S278 agreement would also secure the new access on Dedworth Road (as set out above) and the provision of a Toucan crossing on the A308, as shown on the plan above. Other highway improvements works and funding towards enhancements to the pedestrian and cycle permeability as well as public transport provisions detailed below would satisfy the BLP Site Allocation proforma requirements and would be secured by financial contribution singly or jointly (pro-rata) for the wider AL21 Site Allocation as part of the required legal agreement in order to adequately mitigate the impact of the development on the surrounding road network:

- Local cycling and pedestrian enhancements
- Improvement works to pedestrian route to Braywood CE Primary School;
- Local bus and bus stop enhancement works;
- Development of schemes to improve cycling and walking links in the area, as identified in the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan; and,
- Car club membership;
- A Travel Plan based on the Framework Travel Plan submitted in respect of the planning application to secure opportunities for the effective promotion and delivery of sustainable transport initiatives to reduce the demand for travel by less sustainable modes.

Design and Character

10.28 Policy QP3 of the BLP seeks to ensure that new development will be of a high quality and sustainable design that respects and enhances the local, natural or historic character of the area paying particular regard to urban grain, layouts, rhythm, density, height, skylines, scale, bulk, massing, proportions, trees, biodiversity, ware features enclosure and materials. Policy QP3 is consistent with the objectives of Section 12 of the NPPF (2021) which states that the creation of high quality, beautiful and sustainable buildings and places is fundamental to what the planning and development process should achieve. The NPPF further states at paragraph 126 that good design is a key aspect of sustainable development.

10.29 Further to the objectives of Policy QP3 and Section 12 of the NPPF, the AL21 Site Allocation proforma sets out a number of design related criteria against which application proposals are to be assessed including the need to be of very high quality design that respects the framework of trees and hedgerows in and around the site, provides a series of character areas across the site, each focused on an element of the green and blue infrastructure network and in a form which retains the hidden nature of the site, with appropriate landscaping and edge treatments.

10.30 The application is for outline planning permission for access only to be considered at this stage, with all other matters (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) to be reserved. As such, the

detailed design, including appearance, landscaping, layout and scale of the development will be the subject of future reserved planning applications. However, the application has been submitted alongside an illustrative layout for the development, a design and access statement containing parameter plans (height and building typology) and a design code. These documents set out that building heights would generally be limited to three storeys, with the potential for limited statement or key buildings of four storeys towards the centre, lining the main access road. To the areas backing onto the south west corner of the site where the Cardinal Clinic is located and to the western area around the residential property, Rainworth, a maximum of two storeys in height is proposed. The higher densities also would reflect this. This is contextual and reflective of the form of development in the surrounding area and the modest introduction of taller buildings in the central part of the site would not dominate views from the surrounding area. The level and location of development would ensure that the hidden nature of the site would be retained, with appropriate planting across the site.

- 10.31 The proposed design approach/code will inform future reserved matters applications. The design approach for the built form establishes differing character areas in line with the Site Allocation proforma requirements and would integrate with green ways, areas of open space (including allotments) and transport corridors which link to the northern site and the wider Windsor Area. The approach is appropriate and would ensure a very high quality of design for the site that respects the framework of trees and hedgerows in and around the site. The parameter plans for the development and the associated design code would be secured by recommended conditions 4 and 5, with future reserved matters applications required to demonstrate compliance with this and the details set out within the approved SMD. Furthermore, condition 7 is recommended to secure details of appropriate materials.

Impact on Heritage Assets

- 10.32 Policy HE1 of the BLP sets out that the historic environment will be conserved and enhanced in a manner appropriate to its significance. Development proposals will therefore be required to demonstrate how they preserve or enhance the character, appearance and function of heritage assets (whether designated or non-designated) and their settings and respect the significance of the historic environment. Furthermore, it is noted that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource and works which would cause harm to the significance of a heritage asset (whether designated or non-designated) or its setting, will not be permitted without a clear justification in accordance with legislation and national policy
- 10.33 The application has been submitted alongside a Heritage Statement. This identifies that the Old Farmhouse, immediately south west of the site, is a Grade II* listed building which also includes a number of associated and unattached curtilage listed outbuildings and structures. Given the main buildings listing, it is considered to have a very high level of both historic and architectural significance.
- 10.34 From the early 20th century, the open setting of the historic building has been eroded with suburban development, with the further development of the adjacent garden centre in the 1990s and more recently altered again by the development of the new supermarket. In this context, whilst the proposals would cause some harm to the significance of the listed buildings, given that their historic open setting will be altered by new development, in terms of the immediate setting of the buildings, the impact of this development would be modest, and likely limited to gap views, whilst the access itself would have no impact on the setting of the two listed buildings. Furthermore, the parameter plans demonstrate that the new housing located closest to the listed building would be limited to two storeys in height. Further consideration of the screening to the listed buildings would be required as part of future reserved matters applications.
- 10.35 The location for the proposed SEN school would be some distance away from the listed buildings across an existing open space that would provide a buffer to the setting of the listed buildings. This is therefore acceptable in principle. Further consideration of the height and massing would be required as part of future reserved matters applications.
- 10.36 Paragraph 202 of the NPPF provides advice to Local authorities when considering applications. It advises that “Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the

significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal including, where appropriate, securing its optimum viable use.” The harm to the significance and setting of the heritage assets would be moderate to low and less than substantial. In terms of the heritage balance, it is noted that the proposals would provide the benefit of new housing, a community hub, public open space and specialist educational facilities. Furthermore, the proposals would meet current local and national heritage policies.

Neighbouring Amenity

- 10.37 Policy QP3 of the BLP requires new development to have regard to a number of design principles. Policy QP3 (m) requires development proposals to demonstrate that there would be no unacceptable effect on the amenities enjoyed by the occupants of adjoining properties in terms of privacy, light, disturbance, vibration, pollution, dust, smell and access to sunlight and daylight” which echoes the objectives of paragraph 130(f) of the NPPF (2021) a consideration to be given significant weight, and states developments should “create places that are safe, inclusive and accessible and which promote health and well-being, with a high standard of amenity for existing and future users”.
- 10.38 The application is for outline planning permission with an indicative layout plan provided which demonstrates how the site could be developed in order to accommodate up to 320 residential dwellings and associated works. The final layout and design of the structures would be determined at reserved matters stage; however, the parameter plans and associated design coding shows that the proposed scale of buildings takes into account existing buildings around the site, reducing the height to a maximum of two storey to identified sensitive areas to the south west corner of the site close to the Cardinal Clinic and the western area around the residential property known as Rainworth. This design coding and parameter plans would be secured by recommended conditions 4 and 5. Furthermore, the applicant acknowledges that the Cardinal Clinic is located at the south-west of the site, which is currently in use as a psychiatric hospital. It is therefore envisaged that the proposed new residential development will be set back from the boundary with the Cardinal Clinic located to the south-west of the site, which alongside envisaged planting as set out in the SMD, would further mitigate against any potential disturbance. The submission documents demonstrate that the site can be developed without resulting in material harm to living conditions of occupiers of neighbouring properties in terms of loss of light, privacy or increased sense of enclosure. The detailed design and layout of the site and its buildings, including location and level of openings, would be submitted as part of a future reserved matters application and conditions would be attached, as required.
- 10.39 Policy EP2 of the BLP requires development proposals to demonstrate that they do not significantly affect residents within or adjacent to an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) or to residents being introduced by the development itself. Development proposals which may result in significant increases in air pollution must contain appropriate mitigation measures in order to reduce the likelihood of health problems for residents.
- 10.40 As such, whilst outside of an AQMA, the application has been submitted alongside an Air Quality Assessment in order to address the impact of the proposed works on local air quality both during the construction and operation phase. The report includes a dispersion modelling study of the local air quality conditions and the potential impact from additional vehicle exhaust emissions resulting from the new residential units, concluding that the predicted annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentrations at the receptors points would be below relevant air quality objectives. Accordingly, the proposed development of the site both during construction and operation, would have an acceptable impact on air quality in the surrounding area, with the development incorporating measures to reduce potential emissions such as pedestrian and cycle links and reducing car dependency, once constructed in line with the BLP Site Allocation requirements. In addition, the report sets out recommended measures to reduce the risk of dust and exposure to pollutants during construction works and these measures would be secured by recommended condition 16.
- 10.41 Policy EP4 of the BLP is also relevant and requires development proposals to consider the noise and quality of life impact on existing nearby properties and also the intended new occupiers, in order to ensure that they would not be subject to unacceptable levels of harm. Development

proposals that generate unacceptable levels of noise and affect quality of life would not be permitted and effective mitigation measures will be required where development proposals may generate significant levels of noise (for example from plant and equipment) and may cause or have an adverse impact on neighbouring residents, the rural character of an area or biodiversity. The detailed design of the dwellings and any associated plant would be confirmed at the reserved matters stage; however, the site is located within close proximity to other residential properties in an edge of town location and the proposed introduction of residential units in this location could be accommodated without resulting in material harm to the quality of life of surrounding residents. Condition 17 is recommended to secure further detail of the measures to be taken to address noise mitigation measures for future occupants.

- 10.42 Policy EP5 of the BLP seeks to ensure that development proposals such as this do not result in contamination to local land and water. Given the nature of the proposals, condition 19 is recommended to secure the provision of a remediation measures prior to commencement of the development and to secure appropriate mitigation actions throughout the development.

Other material considerations

Trees

- 10.43 Policy NR3 of the BLP sets out that development proposals should carefully consider the individual and cumulative impact of proposed development on existing trees, woodlands and hedgerows, including those that make a particular contribution to the appearance of the streetscape and local character/distinctiveness.
- 10.44 The application has been submitted alongside an Arboricultural Implication Assessment. The illustrative layout plan submitted with the application demonstrates that the development on the site, would retain suitable trees where possible and furthermore, would keep tree removals to a minimum. Where removals have been recommended, they have been justified are almost exclusively low-quality trees with no Category A or mature trees being removed. The visual impact of the proposed tree losses would be minimal with no veteran trees being removed.
- 10.45 Whilst the removal of tree G29 is acceptable and has been adequately justified to facilitate the development, suitable mitigation would be required in order to avoid exposing the rear of properties on The Limes. This, alongside the proposed 350 replacement trees across the site which have been suitably located, would be secured by recommended condition to secure the aesthetic and amenity value of the site. Suitable space would be given to ensure the continued development and viability of retained trees reducing the potential for post-development removals. Tree protection measures for the site would also be secured by recommended condition 9 which would ensure that there would be no long term impact on the condition of retained trees. As stated within the submitted report, any tree work should be carried out to the standards set in BS3998 and current industry guidelines and this would also be secured by recommended condition 10.

Ecology and biodiversity

- 10.46 Policy NR2 of the BLP requires applications to demonstrate how they maintain, protect and enhance the biodiversity of application sites, avoid impacts, both individually or cumulatively, on species and habitats of principal importance.
- 10.47 The application site is located within 5km of several internationally and nationally designated sites, the closest sites being Windsor Forest and Great Park Special Area of Conservation (SAC) Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Bray Pennyroyal Field SSSI and Sutherland Grange Local Nature Reserve (LNR). Given the scale of the proposals and the potential sensitive receptors such as the nearby designated sites, it is possible that the proposed development could have the potential for significant environmental effects on these sites. The application has therefore been submitted alongside an Ecological Assessment.
- 10.48 The existing buildings and trees on site have all been surveyed for roosting bats, with the submission demonstrating that the stables/outbuildings and the majority of trees were found to

have negligible potential to support roosting bats. Whilst one building and four trees were deemed to have the potential to support roosting bats, further surveys demonstrated that no bats were seen to emerge. As such, no further survey or mitigation is required with regards to roosting bats. Bat activity surveys were also undertaken at the site during 2018, 2020 and 2021. A number of bat species were recorded commuting and foraging across the site. However, the majority of the hedge and tree lines which are used by commuting bats are to be retained and protected, and if lost, will be replaced on a like for like basis. Further hedge, tree and grassland planting is also to be provided, which will provide further habitat for bats. Condition 14 is recommended to secure this planting as part of a Landscape Environmental Management Plan (LEMP). Furthermore, as bats were recorded foraging and commuting across the site and given the type of development, the site is likely to have an increase in light levels which could affect commuting and foraging bats. Recommended condition 27 would also ensure that bats (and other wildlife) are not adversely affected by any external lighting installed.

- 10.49 Surveys for great crested newts (GCN) have been undertaken in 2018 and 2021 on three ponds within 250m of the site. In 2018, no GCN were recorded during the survey and in 2021, the eDNA results were negative for GCN indicating GCN are absent from the waterbodies. No further surveys or mitigation is therefore required. No badgers were recorded during surveys in 2018 or 2021 and therefore no further survey or mitigation is required with regards to badgers. There was habitat on site to support nesting birds and mitigation regarding breeding birds is recommended within the ecological assessment. This mitigation is secured by recommended condition 15.
- 10.50 A badger sett was recorded during the surveys in 2018 and 2021. Badgers are highly mobile and can start using discussed setts again and as such, condition 13 is recommended to secure the submission of a construction environmental management plan (CEMP) which would ensure that precautionary methods are undertaken during development to safeguard badgers should they be on site, alongside other species.
- 10.51 With regard to reptiles, surveys during 2018 concluded that the site did not host reptile species. However, it is noted that the application site was recently cleared prior to this survey, with the ecology report setting out that there are areas of bare ground present that have since been recolonized by a mix of species. Coarse grass and scrub can provide suitable habitat for reptiles and given the time elapsed since the previous reptile surveys (three years), updated reptile surveys have been undertaken to ensure that these protected species are protected both during and after development. The reports have demonstrated that there is no presence of reptiles on the site.
- 10.52 Whilst updated dormice surveys have not been provided with the submission, based on the previous surveys in 2014 and 2018, and given that the dormouse habitat has not changed, there would be no harm to dormouse habitats in the area as a result of the development.
- 10.53 Paragraph 175 of the NPPF states that “opportunities to incorporate biodiversity improvements in and around developments should be encouraged”. Policy NR2 of the BLP also requires proposals to identify areas where there is opportunity for biodiversity to be improved and, where appropriate, enable access to areas of wildlife importance. Where opportunities exist to enhance designated sites or improve the nature conservation value of habitats, for example within Biodiversity Opportunity Areas or a similar designated area, they should be designed into development proposals. Development proposals will demonstrate a net gain in biodiversity by quantifiable methods such as the use of a biodiversity metric.
- 10.54 A biodiversity net gain assessment has been undertaken, based on the current masterplan, and concludes the development will result in a 12.93% net gain in habitat units. Condition 11 is recommended to secure the submission of an updated biodiversity net gain calculation as part of a future reserved matters application. In addition, a number of enhancements have been recommended which include native species planting (which includes the planting of 80 native species), installation of bird and bat boxes onto the new buildings and retained trees, provision of hibernacula and the provision of gaps in any boundary fencing for wildlife to travel across the site. Details of such enhancements, including the locations, specifications and management prescriptions, would be secured by recommended conditions 12, 13 and 14 which requires the submission of a LEMP, CEMP and details of the biodiversity enhancements.

Archaeology

- 10.55 Policy HE1 of the BLP requires all applications for works in archeologically sensitive areas to include a desk-top archaeological assessment.
- 10.56 The application site is located approximately 250m south west of the River Thames and therefore lies over the floodplain and gravel terraces which have been a focus of settlement, agriculture and burial from the earlier prehistoric period to the present day. Approximately 320m to the north west of the site there is evidence of extensive Mesolithic, Neolithic and Bronze Age activity and, although there appears to be less known activity south of the river, there is still evidence of Prehistoric use nearby and there are various examples of important prehistoric finds locally. Given that the site falls within an area of archaeological significance and archaeological remains may be damaged by ground disturbance for the proposed development, condition 18 is recommended to secure a programme of archaeological work including a Written Scheme of Investigation, prior to commencement of the development, with implementation in accordance with the approved scheme and occupation only at such a time as a site investigation and post investigation assessment has been completed in accordance with a programme set out in the approved Written Scheme of Investigation.

Open space provision

- 10.57 Policy IF4 of the BLP requires new open space and play facilities for children and young people on sites allocated for new housing and this requirement is replicated within the AL21 Site Allocation requirements. The illustrative layout and Green Infrastructure plan, demonstrates that an area of open space can be provided within the centre of the site which would include equipped children's play facilities and informal play space. Furthermore, other recreational opportunities such as an adult outdoor gym and running route will also be provided. This approach is acceptable, and the provision would be secured through the legal agreement.

Other matters

- 10.58 Future reserved matters applications would provide detail of the quality of the residential accommodation provided as part of the development. However, in order to ensure compliance with policy HO2 which seeks to ensure that new homes contribute to meeting the needs of current and projected households, condition 23 is recommended to secure 30% of the dwellings to be delivered as accessible and adaptable dwellings in accordance with Building Regulations M4(2), and 5% of the dwellings to meet the wheelchair accessible standard in Building Regulations M4(3).
- 10.59 In order to secure the provision of a SEN school as part of the development, the legal agreement would secure appropriate timings and mechanisms for the transfer of the school in order to secure the opportunity for provision as part of the development.
- 10.60 Paragraphs 10 and 11 of the NPPF (2021) set out that there will be a presumption in favour of Sustainable Development which is consistent with the overarching objectives of the BLP. Policy HO1 of the BLP sets out a trajectory for the provision of new housing and the application site, and the wider AL21 Site Allocation, form an integral part of this housing trajectory. The provision of such housing will ensure the Borough is able to maintain its up-to-date five-year housing land supply. Given the scale of the development condition 6 is recommended to secure the submission of a phasing plan for the development, prior to commencement.

11. COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL)

- 11.1 The development is CIL liable. The liability will be calculated at reserved matters stage

12. PLANNING BALANCE

- 12.1 The application site forms part of the AL21 Land West of Windsor Site Allocation pursuant to policy H01 of the BLP. The proposed development is acceptable in principle and complies with relevant development plan policies. The site is allocated for residential development, together with an SEN school, community hub and associated works within the BLP. The provision of these are all public benefits associated with the proposed development and the proposal demonstrates that it has the potential (secured through future reserved matters applications) to deliver the relevant site-specific requirements.
- 12.2 Given that the Council can demonstrate that a five year housing supply is available, there is no requirement to apply the tilted balance approach in line with the context of the NPPF. Notwithstanding this, the site allocation and its position within the development plan is afforded significant weight in delivering housing.
- 12.4 For the reasons set out within this report, subject to the EA removing their objection, the proposed development is acceptable and the recommendation therefore is that planning permission is granted, subject to recommended conditions and the completion of the required legal agreement to secure appropriate provisions as set out in this report.

13 CONCLUSION

- 13.1 The application, would for the reasons set out above, represent an acceptable form of development on an Allocated Site in the BLP that would make for highly efficient use of the site, with an acceptable access to be provided.

14. APPENDICES TO THIS REPORT

- 10 Appendix A – Site location plan
- 11 Appendix B – Access plan
- 12 Appendix C – Illustrative layout plan
- 13 Appendix D – Parameter plans

15. CONDITIONS RECOMMENDED FOR INCLUSION IF PERMISSION IS GRANTED

- 1 Details of the appearance, landscaping, layout and scale (hereinafter called the 'reserved matters') for each phase shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before any part of the respective phase of the development is commenced.
Reason: To accord with the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) Order 1995.
- 2 An application for the approval of the reserved matters shall be made to the Local Planning Authority within three years of the date of this permission
Reason: To accord with the requirements of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
- 3 The Development shall commence within two years from the date of approval of the last of the reserved matters.
Reason: In accordance with the requirements of Section 92 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
- 4 The reserved matters applications shall be submitted in accordance with the details set out within the Design Code Rev. 2635-A-4005-B, dated August 2022 prepared by Omega Architects.
Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area in accordance with policies HO1 and QP3 of the Borough Local Plan.
- 5 The reserved matters applications shall be submitted in general accordance with the details set out within the parameter plans contained in the Design and Access Statement Rev. 2635-A-5000-D, dated August 2022 prepared by Omega Architects
Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area in accordance with policies HO1 and QP3 of the Borough Local Plan.
- 6 Prior to the commencement of the development, a phasing plan shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in complete accordance with the approved phasing plan unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority.
Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area in accordance with policies HO1 and

QP3 of the Borough Local Plan.

7 No development above ground floor slab level shall take place until samples of the materials to be used on the external surfaces of the development hereby approved have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out and maintained in accordance with the approved details. The development shall only be carried out in accordance with the approved materials or such other details as agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of the visual amenities of the area in accordance with policies HO1 and QP3 of the Borough Local Plan.

8 No part of the development shall commence until the access has been constructed in accordance with the approved drawing. The access shall thereafter be retained as approved.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and the free flow of traffic in in accordance with policies IF2 and QP3 of the Borough Local Plan.

9 The erection of fencing for the protection of any retained tree as shown on plan numbers plan numbers SJA TPP 21464-041 and any other protection measures specified set out in the Arboricultural Implications Report prepared by SJA Trees dated April 2022, shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved plans and particulars before any equipment, machinery or materials are brought on to the site, and thereafter maintained until the completion of all construction work and all equipment, machinery and surplus materials have been permanently removed from the site. Nothing shall be stored or placed in any area fenced in accordance with this condition and the ground levels within those areas shall not be altered, nor shall any excavation be made, without the written approval of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To protect trees which contribute to the visual amenities of the site and surrounding area in accordance with policies DG1 and N6 of the Borough Local Plan.

10 No tree or hedgerow shown to be retained in the approved plans shall be cut down, uprooted or destroyed, nor shall any retained tree be lopped or topped other than in accordance with the approved plans and particulars or until five years from the date of occupation of the buildings for their permitted use. Any topping or lopping approved shall be carried out in accordance with British Standard 3998 Tree work. If any retained tree is removed, uprooted or destroyed or dies, another tree shall be planted in the immediate vicinity and that tree shall be of the same size and species unless the Local Planning Authority give its prior written consent to any variation.

Reason: To protect trees which contribute to the visual amenities of the site and surrounding area in accordance with policies DG1 and N6 of the Borough Local Plan.

11 An updated biodiversity net gain calculation shall be submitted with any Reserved Matters application to provide details of the biodiversity net gain which will be delivered as part of this development (including a clear demonstration through the use of an appropriate biodiversity calculator such as the Defra Metric 3.0 that a net gain would be achieved). The agreed net gain measures shall thereafter be implemented/installed in full as agreed.

Reason: To provide a net gain for biodiversity in accordance with Policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan.

12 Prior to the commencement of the development above slab level, details of biodiversity enhancements, to include integral bird and bat boxes, tiles or bricks on the new buildings and around the site and native and wildlife friendly landscaping (including gaps at the bases of any fences to allow hedgehogs to traverse through the gardens), shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved biodiversity enhancements shall thereafter be installed as approved and a brief letter/report confirming that enhancements have been created/installed, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To incorporate biodiversity in and around the development in accordance with the NPPF and policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan.

13 No development shall take place (including demolition, ground works, vegetation clearance) until a construction environmental management plan (CEMP: Biodiversity) has been submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The CEMP (Biodiversity) shall include the following:

- a. Risk assessment of potentially damaging construction activities;
- b. Identification of "biodiversity protection zones";
- c. Practical measures (both physical measures and sensitive working practices) to avoid or reduce impacts during construction (may be provided as a set of method statements and should include all mitigation measures outlined in the ecology report (Ecology Assessment,

prepared by Ecology Solutions, dated March 2022), an updated ecology walkover survey (including an updated PRA of the building) prior to commencement of any works to ensure that conditions on the site have not significantly changed since the time of the surveys, reasonable avoidance measures during site clearance works for reptiles, nesting birds, and hedgehog (including measures which would be undertaken should any individuals of these species be found), removal of the identified PRF under the supervision of a suitably qualified ecologist, protection of the river and any vegetation to be retained, and construction lighting to be directed away from any suitable bat habitat;

- d. The location and timing of sensitive works to avoid harm to biodiversity features;
- e. Times during construction when specialist ecologists need to be present on site to oversee works;
- f. Responsible persons and lines of communication;
- g. The role and responsibilities on site of an ecological clerk of works (ECoW) or similarly competent person; and,
- h. Use of protective fences, exclusion barriers and warning signs.

The approved CEMP shall be adhered to and implemented throughout the construction period strictly in accordance with the approved details, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority. An updated ecology report detailing the results of this updated ecological walkover survey should be submitted with any Reserved Matters application, and if any new signs of presence of protected species on the site is found then further surveys may need to be undertaken and/or conditioned as part of the Reserved Matters application.

Reason: To minimise impacts on biodiversity in accordance with Policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan and Paragraphs 170 and 175 of the NPPF.

14 Prior to occupation of the development, a Landscape Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the council. The LEMP shall include details of the following:

- a. Schedules of plants and trees, to include native and wildlife friendly species, including pollen-rich and fruit bearing species and species likely to prove adaptable to climate change, noting species, planting sizes and proposed numbers / densities.
- b. Clear long and short term aims and objectives for the site, to include measures to create and enhance woodland and other habitats.
- c. Implementation timetables.
- d. Prescriptions to achieve the aims and objectives of the plan to include details of which organisations/persons will be responsible for implementing the prescriptions.
- e. Details of how the aims, objectives and prescriptions will be monitored, and what processes will be put in place to ensure that the plan is iterative.

The LEMP shall be implemented as approved unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority.

Reason: To ensure that wildlife is safeguarded, and enhancements provided, in line with policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan.

15 The development shall be carried out in complete accordance with the measures to support nesting bird and mitigation measures for breeding birds set out in the Ecology Assessment, prepared by Ecology Solutions, dated July 2022.

Reason: To ensure that wildlife is safeguarded, and enhancements provided, in line with policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan.

16 The development shall be carried out in complete accordance with the mitigation measures set out in Section 6.2 of the Air Quality Assessment Issue 04, prepared by Ramboll, dated 25th April 2022.

Reason: To secure an acceptable standard of residential amenity in accordance with policies QP3 and EP2 of the Borough Local Plan.

17 No development above slab level shall commence until a noise study has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. This shall include:

- a. Details of all the measures to be taken to acoustically insulate all habitable rooms against environmental and operational noise together with details of the methods of providing acoustic ventilation;
- b. Details of how the proposed development is designed so that cumulative noise from surrounding uses does not impact on residential amenity. This shall include any appropriate mitigation measures.

The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details and retained as such thereafter.

Reason: In the interests of the mutual amenity of future, and adjoining, occupiers of land and buildings in accordance with policies HO5, QP3 and EP1 of the Borough Local Plan.

18 No development shall take place until a programme of archaeological work including a Written Scheme of Investigation has been submitted to, and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The scheme shall include:

1. An assessment of significance and research questions;
2. The programme and methodology of site investigation and recording;
3. The programme for post investigation assessment;
4. Provision to be made for analysis of the site investigation and recording;
5. Provision to be made for publication and dissemination of the analysis and records of the site investigation;
6. Provision to be made for archive deposition of the analysis and records of the site investigation
7. Nomination of a competent person or persons/organisation to undertake the works set out within the Written Scheme of Investigation.

The Development shall take place in accordance with the approved Written Scheme of Investigation . The development shall not be occupied until the site investigation and post investigation assessment has been completed in accordance with the programme set out in the approved Written Scheme of Investigation and the provision made for analysis, publication and dissemination of results and archive deposition has been secured.

Reason: To protect potential archaeological remains within the site and surrounding area in accordance with policy HE1 of the Borough Local Plan.

19 Unless otherwise agreed by the Local Planning Authority, development other than that required to be carried out as part of an approved scheme of remediation must not commence until points 1 to 4 have been complied with. If unexpected contamination is found after development has begun, development must be halted on that part of the site affected by the unexpected contamination to the extent specified by the Local Planning Authority in writing until point 4 has been complied with in relation to that contamination:

1. Site Characterisation An investigation and risk assessment, in addition to any assessment provided with the planning application, must be completed in accordance with a scheme to assess the nature and extent of any contamination on the site, whether or not it originates on the site. The contents of the scheme are subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken by competent persons and a written report of the findings must be produced. The written report is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The report of the findings must include:

- i. a survey of the extent, scale and nature of contamination;
- ii. an assessment of the potential risks to human health, property (existing or proposed) including buildings, crops, livestock, adjoining land, groundwaters and surface waters, ecological systems, archaeological sites and ancient monuments;
- iii. an appraisal of remedial options, and proposal of preferred option(s).

This must be conducted in accordance with DEFRA and the Environment Agency's `Model procedures for the Management of Land Contamination, CLR 11';

2. Submission of Remediation Scheme. A detailed remediation scheme to bring the site to a condition suitable for intended use by removing unacceptable risks to human health, buildings and other property and the natural and historical environment must be prepared, and is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. The scheme must include all works to be undertaken, proposed remediation objectives and remediation criteria, timetable of works and site management procedures. The scheme must ensure that the site will not qualify as contaminated land under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 in relation to the intended use of the land after remediation;

3. Implementation of Approved Remediation Scheme. The approved remediation scheme must be carried out in accordance with its terms prior to the commencement of development other than that required to carry out remediation, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Local Planning Authority must be given two weeks written notification of

commencement of the remediation scheme work. Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme, a verification report (referred to in PPS23 as a validation report) that demonstrates the effectiveness of the remediation carried out must be produced, and is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority;

4. Reporting Unexpected Contamination In the event that contamination is found at anytime when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of point 1, and where remediation is necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared in accordance with the requirements of point 2, which is the subject of the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. Following completion of measures in identified in the approved remediation scheme a verification report must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority in accordance with point 3.

5. Long Term Monitoring and Maintenance A monitoring and maintenance scheme to include monitoring the long-term effectiveness of the proposed remediation over the required period, and the provision of reports on the same must be prepared, both of which are subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Following completion of the measures identified in that scheme and when the remediation objectives have been achieved, reports that demonstrate the effectiveness of the monitoring and maintenance carried out must be produced and submitted to in writing for approved by the Local Planning Authority. This must be conducted in accordance with DEFRA and the Environment Agency's Land Contamination Risk Management (LCRM). How to assess and manage the risks from land contamination

Reason: To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and the neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors in accordance with policy EP5 of the Borough Local Plan.

20 The development shall be carried out in accordance with the flood mitigation measures set out in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy, prepared by JNP Group Rev. P03, dated December 2022.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development is safe from flooding in accordance with policy NR1 of the Borough Local Plan.

21 Prior to commencement (excluding demolition) a surface water drainage scheme for the development, based on the submitted sustainable drainage strategy, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Details shall include:a) Calculations to include development runoff rates, volumes (attenuation and long-term storage) and topographic details, and any consents required from Thames Water;b) Full details of all components of the proposed surface water drainage system including dimensions, locations, gradients, invert levels, cover levels long sections and cross section and relevant construction details of all individual components;c) Water quality discharged from the site should be of sufficient water quality. The applicant is to provide evidence that discharge from the site would be of sufficient water quality that it would not result in detriment to any receiving water course;d) Details of the proposed maintenance arrangements relating to the surface water drainage system should also be provided, confirming the part that will be responsible. The surface water drainage system shall be implemented and maintained in accordance with the approved details and retained thereafter.

Reason: To ensure compliance with the National Planning Policy Framework (2021) and the Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage Systems and to Royal Borough of Windsor & Maidenhead: Delivering Highways & Transport in partnership with: ensure the proposed development is safe from flooding and does not increase flood risk elsewhere in line with Policy NR1 of the Borough Local Plan.

22 An updated Energy and Sustainability Statement shall be submitted with any Reserved Matters application to provide details of sustainable design and construction measures to be incorporated into the development to achieve, as far as possible, a net-zero carbon outcome on site. The approved details shall be implemented in full, entirely in accordance with the approved measures, and thereafter maintained.

Reason: To ensure that the development is designed to incorporate measures to adapt to and

mitigate climate change in line with policy SP2 of the Borough Local Plan as informed by the guidance and requirements of the Position Statement on Sustainability and Energy Efficient Design - March 2021.

23 Prior to the commencement of above ground floor slab level building works, details regarding the provision of units designed to meet Categories M4(1), M4(2) and M4(3) of Approved Document Part M of Building Regulations 2010 (as amended) shall be submitted to, and approved, in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be completed in accordance with the approved details and retained thereafter.

Reason: In order to maximise the practical provision of accessible housing, in accordance with policy HO2 of the Borough Local Plan.

24 No development shall be occupied until confirmation has been provided that either foul water capacity exists off site to serve the development or a development and infrastructure phasing plan has been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with Thames Water. Where a development and infrastructure phasing plan is agreed, no occupation shall take place other than in accordance with the agreed development and infrastructure phasing plan and all foul water network upgrades required to accommodate the additional flows from the development have been completed.

Reason: Network reinforcement works may be required to accommodate the proposed development. Any reinforcement works identified will be necessary in order to avoid sewage flooding and/or potential pollution incidents in accordance with Policy NR1 of the Borough Local Plan.

25 No development shall be occupied until confirmation has been provided that either surface water capacity exists off site to serve the development or a development and infrastructure phasing plan has been submitted to and agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority in consultation with Thames Water. Where a development and infrastructure phasing plan is agreed, no occupation shall take place other than in accordance with the agreed development and infrastructure phasing plan and all surface water network upgrades required to accommodate the additional flows from the development have been completed.

Reason: Network reinforcement works may be required to accommodate the proposed development. Any reinforcement works identified will be necessary in order to avoid flooding and/or potential pollution incidents in accordance with Policy NR1 of the Borough Local Plan.

26 No buildings hereby approved shall be occupied until a Travel Plan based on the Framework Travel Plan submitted as part of the planning has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The Travel Plan shall thereafter be implemented in accordance with the approved Travel Plan.

Reason: In the interests of highway safety and the free flow of traffic in in accordance with policies IF2 and QP3 of the Borough Local Plan.

27 No development above slab level shall commence until a report detailing the external lighting scheme, and how this will not adversely impact upon wildlife, has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The report (if external lighting is to be installed) shall include the following figures and appendices:a) A layout plan with beam orientation;b) A schedule of equipment;c) Measures to avoid glare; and,d) An isolux contour map showing light spillage to 1 lux both vertically and horizontally, areas identified as being of importance for commuting and foraging bats, and locations of the new bat and bird boxes. The approved lighting plan shall thereafter be implemented as approved, unless otherwise agreed in writing.

Reason: To limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on nature conservation, in line with policy NR2 of the Borough Local Plan.

28 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans listed below.

Reason: To ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved particulars and plans.


29 Prior to commencement of the development, detail to demonstrate that the ordinary watercourse to the west of the site does not pose a flood risk in the surrounding area, taking into account the impact of climate change using appropriate climate change allowances, or appropriate flood storage compensation measures if required, shall be submitted to, and approved, in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be completed in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: To ensure that the proposed development is safe from flooding in accordance with policy NR1 of the Borough Local Plan.

Appendices

22/01354/OUT - Land Bounded By Willow Path And The Limes And Windsor Road And Dedworth Road And Oakley Green Road Oakley Green Windsor


Appendix A – Site location plan



Land at Oakley Green Windsor
Southern Property
Location Plan
Plan number : SA - LP1
Scale 1:2500 @ A3
Apr 2022



Appendix B – Site access plan



Appendix C – Illustrative layout plan



WATES DEVELOPMENTS	SKETCH LAYOUT SCALE 1:500
LAND WEST OF WINDSOR	1.125 @ A1

NO.	DATE	BY	CHKD BY	DESCRIPTION
1	08/11/20	AK	AK	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
2	08/11/20	AK	AK	ISSUE FOR PERMIT
3	08/11/20	AK	AK	ISSUE FOR PERMIT


	2635	C	1007	SK	R
	Omega Architects 1007 Skyring Street Christchurch, New Zealand				

Appendix D – Parameter plans



Heights Parameter Plan





Density & Typology Parameter Plan

KEY

- PLANNING APPLICATION BOUNDARY LINE

- 1 LOWER DENSITY - DETACHED & SEMI-DETACHED HOUSING
25-35 DPH
- 2 MEDIUM DENSITY - MORE VARIED TYPOLOGIES PREDOMINANTLY COMPRISING
35-45 DPH TERRACED & SEMI-DETACHED HOUSING
- 3 HIGHER DENSITY - PREDOMINANTLY LARGER APARTMENT BUILDINGS &
45+ DPH TOWNHOUSES/LINKED HOUSING
- SCHOOL LAND

NOTE:
DEVELOPMENT CELLS ALIGNMENT CAN
DEVIATE BY UP TO 10m UPON DETAILED
DESIGN

Appeal Decision Report

16 December 2022 - 20 February 2023

Windsor and Ascot

Appeal Ref.: 21/60059/REF **Planning Ref.:** 21/00872/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/21/3276912

Appellant: Mr Tom Carter **c/o Agent:** Mr Chris Rickerby CDR Consultants Ltd 39 Clifton Rise Windsor SL4 5SX

Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

Description: Single storey front/side extension and part garage conversion to include raising of garage roof.

Location: **54 White Horse Road Windsor SL4 4PQ**

Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 26 January 2023

Main Issue: The Inspector concludes that the proposed extension would harm the character and appearance of the host property and the surrounding area, with particular regard to its scale and design. The proposal is therefore contrary to the requirements of Policy QP3 of the BLP, Policy DES.01 of the WNP, the Borough Wide Design Guide dated 2020, and paragraphs 130 and 134 of the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework), which amongst other things, collectively seek high quality design that respects the host building and the character and appearance of the area.

Appeal Ref.: 22/60032/REF **Planning Ref.:** 21/02478/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3294292

Appellant: Billa Hothi **c/o Agent:** Mr Richard Simpson RJS Planning 132 Brunswick Road London W5 1AW

Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

Description: New front entrance canopy, alterations to the roof to include x1 front rooflight, x2 front dormers and x3 rear dormers to enlarge the existing first floor accommodation and alterations to fenestration - retrospective.

Location: **Watersmeet House 18 Kingswood Creek Wraysbury Staines TW19 5EN**

Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 30 January 2023

Main Issue: The Inspector concludes that the proposal is inappropriate by definition and harmful to the Green Belt. The proposal conflicts with Policy QP5 of the BLP, and the aims of the Framework.

Appeal Ref.: 22/60033/REF **Planning Ref.:** 21/00803/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/21/3288290
Appellant: James Ambo **c/o Agent:** Mr Jake Geczy DP9 100 Pall Mall London SW1Y 5NQ
Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse
Description: Replacement dwelling and detached garage.
Location: **Knoll House St Leonards Hill Windsor SL4 4AL**
Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 20 December 2022

Main Issue: The Inspector concludes that the replacement dwelling would significantly harm the character and appearance of the area contrary to Local Plan policy QP3 and Windsor Neighbourhood Plan policy DES01. The Inspector also concludes that the proposal would result in significant harm to neighbours' living conditions contrary to policy QP3 and contrary to guidance in the Borough Wide Design Guide and the aims of the NPPF. The proposal accords with the aims of SP2 with respect to reducing carbon emissions. The submitted UU is necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms to achieve an overall net zero carbon outcome. Costs application - the Inspector found no unreasonable behaviour by the Council and no costs were awarded.

Appeal Ref.: 22/60046/NOND ET **Planning Ref.:** 21/02091/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/22/3294310
Appellant: David Rahal **c/o Agent:** David Rahal Malvern Homes Limited 1 Newick Avenue Sutton Coldfield Sutton Coldfield B74 3DA
Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse
Description: 4no. dwellings with new access and associated parking following demolition of the existing dwelling.
Location: **67 Imperial Road Windsor SL4 3RU**
Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 9 January 2023

Main Issue: The Inspector concluded that the pair of semi-detached dwellings proposed would be an uncharacteristic arrangement that would jar with the pattern of development. The dwellings, at two storeys plus roof level accommodation would stand above the height of their immediate neighbours to either side. This height, combined with narrow footprints, would create a distinct vertical emphasis that would contrast strongly with the more horizontal form prevalent to neighbouring dwellings. The Inspector also thought that the two semi-detached pairs would be set close to one another and to the side boundaries of the site, appearing squeezed onto the site in a cramped and contrived manner

Appeal Ref.: 22/60047/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/00117/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/22/3299429
Appellant: Malvern Estates Plc **c/o Agent:** Mr. David Rahal 1 Newick Avenue Sutton Coldfield Sutton Coldfield West Midlands B74 3DA
Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse
Description: The erection of four dwellings with associated parking, cycle and bin store and new access following demolition of the existing dwelling.
Location: **67 Imperial Road Windsor SL4 3RU**
Appeal Decision: Allowed **Decision Date:** 9 January 2023

Main Issue: The Inspector concluded that the front elevation of the building would have a similar symmetrical appearance to the apartment blocks elsewhere on the street. They considered that the proposal would fit within the range of scale established by the apartment blocks, and would incorporate materials and design details which would complement those which prevail within the street. As a single building, it would better exhibit the horizontal emphasis of neighbouring dwellings and it would achieve a better sense of proportion in its plot. As such they concluded that it would preserve the character and appearance of the area.

Appeal Ref.: 22/60048/REF **Planning Ref.:** 21/02367/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/22/3296594

Appellant: Mr R Jagdev **c/o Agent:** Mrs Rosalind Gall Sentinel House Harvest Crescent FLEET GU51 2UZ

Decision Type: Committee **Officer Recommendation:** Application Permitted

Description: Part change of use of the ground floor from A2 (financial and professional services) to residential, part single part two storey side extension, single storey rear extension, alterations to fenestration and external alterations, following demolition of the existing single storey side and rear elements.

Location: **109 High Street Eton Windsor SL4 6AN**

Appeal Decision: Allowed **Decision Date:** 9 February 2023

Main Issue:

Appeal Ref.: 22/60067/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/00090/TLDDT **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/22/3299985

Appellant: Mr Gee **c/o Agent:** Mr James Reilly Dot Surveying C/o 14 Inverleith Place Edinburgh EH3 5PZ

Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

Description: Application for determination as to whether prior approval is required for proposed 20m 'slim line' phase 8 monopole c/w wraparound cabinet at base, 3no. additional ancillary equipment cabinets and associated ancillary works.

Location: **Land At Junction of Wolf Lane And Tinkers Lane Windsor**

Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 26 January 2023

Main Issue:

Appeal Ref.: 22/60070/REF **Planning Ref.:** 21/03397/TLDDT **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/22/3300097

Appellant: CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Ltd **c/o Agent:** Ms Samantha Holt 1A Station Court, Station Road Guiseley Leeds West Yorkshire LS20 8EY

Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

Description: Application for determination as to whether prior approval is required for a proposed 15.0m Phase 8 Monopole C/W wraparound Cabinet at base and associated ancillary works.

Location: **Junction of Alma Road And Frances Road Windsor**

Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 20 December 2022

Main Issue: The Inspector concludes that the considerable benefits of providing telecommunication infrastructure does not outweigh the significant harm to the character and appearance of the area including the setting of the listed building and the statue. The appellant also fails to demonstrate that the proposal would not have an unacceptable impact on the nearest trees which are situated along a green route. The proposal is contrary to the aims of Local Plan policies IF7, HE1, QP3 and NR3 and Neighbourhood Plan policies BIO.01 and BIO.02. The Inspector was not satisfied that potentially less harmful alternative sites on existing building and at street level had been adequately explored.

Appeal Ref.: 22/60073/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/01162/TLDDT **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/22/3303604

Appellant: CK Hutchison Networks (UK) Ltd **c/o Agent:** Ms Hannah Gibson Dot Surveying 14 Inverleith Place 2 Anderson Place Edinburgh EH3 5PZ

Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

Description: Proposed 5G telecoms installation: H3G Phase 8 15m high street pole c/w wrap-around cabinet and 3 further additional equipment cabinets.

Location: **Land Adjacent To Open Space Wood Close Windsor**

Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 1 February 2023

Main Issue: The Inspector considered that the proposal would deliver a utilitarian structure of significant scale that would look incongruous in this particular suburban location. The proposal would harm the character and appearance of the area. This harm is not outweighed by the benefits of the proposal or lack of alternative sites.

Appeal Ref.: 23/60003/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/01745/CLAS **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3307846
AA

Appellant: Mr And Mrs Jennings **c/o Agent:** Mr Martin Gaine Suite 45 4 Spring Bridge Road LONDON W5 2AA

Decision Type: Delegated **Officer Recommendation:** Prior Approval Required and Refused

Description: Application for prior approval for construction of one additional storey to the property with a maximum height of 2.7m.

Location: **52 York Road Windsor SL4 3NY**

Appeal Decision: Dismissed **Decision Date:** 1 February 2023

Main Issue:

Planning Appeals Received

WINDSOR AND ASCOT

16 December 2022 - 20 February 2023

The appeals listed below have been received by the Council and will be considered by the Planning Inspectorate. Should you wish to make additional/new comments in connection with an appeal you can do so on the Planning Inspectorate website at <https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> please use the PIns reference number. If you do not have access to the Internet please write to the relevant address, shown below.

Enforcement appeals: The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN

Other appeals: The Planning Inspectorate Temple Quay House, 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN

Ward:

Parish: Windsor Unparished

Appeal Ref.: 23/60001/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/00319/VAR **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/W/22/3308700

Date Received: 3 January 2023 **Comments Due:** 7 February 2023

Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Written Representation

Description: Variation (under Section 73A) of Condition 14 (Approved Plans) to substitute those plans approved under 19/03431/FULL for the Change of use from D1 (medical) to C3 (residential) with alterations to the roof to include a new roof terrace and glass balustrade following the removal of the existing dormer and rooflight, new front entrance, doors with glass balustrade to the first floor side elevation, part render, alterations to fenestration, pergola and associated works to provide 1 x studio, 4 x one bedroom flats and 2 x two bedroom flats with amended plans.

Location: **Blomfield Place 30 Frances Road Windsor SL4 3EE**

Appellant: Mr Gilbert **c/o Agent:** Mr Neil Davis 19 Woodlands Avenue Winnersh WOKINGHAM Berkshire RG41 3HL

Ward:

Parish: Windsor Unparished

Appeal Ref.: 23/60003/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/01745/CLAS AA **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3307846

Date Received: 11 January 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A

Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal

Description: Application for prior approval for construction of one additional storey to the property with a maximum height of 2.7m.

Location: **52 York Road Windsor SL4 3NY**

Appellant: Mr And Mrs Jennings **c/o Agent:** Mr Martin Gaine Suite 45 4 Spring Bridge Road LONDON W5 2AA

Ward:

Parish: Sunninghill And Ascot Parish

Appeal Ref.: 23/60004/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/00224/FULL **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3306907

Date Received: 12 January 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A

Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal

Description: Garage conversion, two storey front/side extension with front entrance canopy, two storey side/rear extension with balcony, steps to the rear and alterations to fenestration.

Location: **Sunnycroft Larch Avenue Ascot SL5 0AP**

Appellant: Mr & Mrs Gosal **c/o Agent:** Mr Alistair Lloyd Abracad Architects The Atrium Broad Lane Bracknell Berkshire RG12 9BX

Ward:

Parish: Windsor Unparished

Appeal Ref.: 23/60006/REF **Planning Ref.:** 21/02952/FULL **PIns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3307161

Date Received: 18 January 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A

Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal
Description: Single storey side extension, x2 rear L-shaped dormer and alterations to fenestration.
Location: **21 Queens Road Windsor SL4 3BQ**
Appellant: Andy And Hazel Nicolson **c/o Agent:** Mr Peter Smith The Old Place, Lock Path Dorney WINDSOR Berkshire SL4 6QQ

Ward:
Parish: Windsor Unparished
Appeal Ref.: 23/60007/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/01610/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3312224

Date Received: 19 January 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A
Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal
Description: Single storey rear extension and alterations to fenestration.
Location: **157 Clarence Road Windsor SL4 5AP**
Appellant: Mr David Tucker **c/o Agent:** Mr Rustem Konakli A1 Planning Portal Flat 1, Roden Court 115 Hornsey Lane London N6 5EF

Ward:
Parish: Sunninghill And Ascot Parish
Appeal Ref.: 23/60009/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/01526/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3307842

Date Received: 24 January 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A
Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal
Description: New front porch canopy and pillars, part garage conversion, part single part two storey, part first floor side extension, single storey rear extension, raising of the eaves and ridge height, 2no. front dormers, 1no. side dormer, 2no. rear dormers and alterations to the external finish and alterations to fenestration.
Location: **Burwood House 22 Llanvair Drive Ascot SL5 9HT**
Appellant: Mr & Mrs B Tatla **c/o Agent:** Mr. Paul Dickinson Highway House Lower Froyle Hants GU34 4NB

Ward:
Parish: Windsor Unparished
Appeal Ref.: 23/60010/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/01757/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3310667

Date Received: 24 January 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A
Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal
Description: First floor rear extension and alterations to fenestration.
Location: **28 Oak Lane Windsor SL4 5EU**
Appellant: Anne Cheung **c/o Agent:** Mr. Paul Chaston Bedford I-Lab Stannard Way, Priory Business Park Bedford Bedfordshire MK44 3RZ

Ward:
Parish: Wraysbury Parish
Appeal Ref.: 23/60015/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/02314/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/3313963

Date Received: 26 January 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A
Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal
Description: First Floor Front extension, cladding and render, front dormer window, part conversion of car port to habitable accommodation, extension to car port with new steps and alterations to hard standing.
Location: **57 The Avenue Wraysbury Staines TW19 5EZ**
Appellant: Mr James McCauley **c/o Agent:** Mrs Judy Giddings 26 Melbourne Road Teddington TW11 9QX

Ward:
Parish: Windsor Unparished
Appeal Ref.: 23/60022/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/02387/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/23/3314703

Date Received: 9 February 2023 **Comments Due:** 9 March 2023
Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal
Description: Part single/part two storey front, side and rear extension, relocation of front entrance door and alterations to external finishes and fenestration.

Location: 27 Bulkeley Avenue Windsor SL4 3LY
Appellant: Mr Jinder Singh Nagpal **c/o Agent:** Mr Terence Telles First Floor 1 Hythe Street
DARTFORD DA1 1BE

Ward:
Parish: Windsor Unparished
Appeal Ref.: 23/60023/REF **Planning Ref.:** 22/01373/FULL **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/22/
3313926

Date Received: 10 February 2023 **Comments Due:** N/A
Type: Refusal **Appeal Type:** Fast Track Appeal

Description: x2 rear dormers

Location: 1 Kentons Lane Windsor SL4 4JH
Appellant: Ms Michelle Downey **c/o Agent:** Mr Duncan Gibson 74 Parsonage Lane WINDSOR
Berkshire SL4 5EN

This page is intentionally left blank